



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 20299 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 20299 of 2026

B.Amol

..Petitioner

Vs

State represented by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Mudaliarpet Police Station,
Puducherry.
Crime No.219/2025

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in C.C.No.2347/2025 pending Trial on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-VII Puducherry.

For Petitioner:


Mr.A.Nirmal Kumar Sharma

For Respondent:

MR G Djearany Omprakash
Government Advocate (Puducherry)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.10.2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 309(6), 317(2) r/w 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2024 originally 304(2) BNS in Crime



No.219 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner snatched gold chains from two victims. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been remanded in judicial custody on 08.01.2025 in theft of 6 sovereigns of gold chain, wherein 3 sovereigns of gold were recovered. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that there are about 28 previous cases against this petitioner spread over Tamil Nadu, Kerala and Telangana.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side); it is evident that the petitioner is an interstate offender. As rightly contended by him, if the



petitioner is enlarged on bail, there are every likelihood of him committing similar offences. Such submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) is fortified by the criminal antecedents of this petitioner. Hence, this Court is of the firm view that it is not desirable to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

29-07-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Mudaliarpet Police Station,
Puducherry.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 20299 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 20299 of 2026

29-07-2026