



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16499 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 30-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 16499 of 2026**

Velmurugan  
no 95 west street palakollai post virudhachalam  
Taluk Nadiyapattu, Palakollai Cuddalore

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police  
Neyveli Township Police Station,  
Cuddalore.  
Crime No.329 of 2025

..Respondent(s)


**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the  
event of his arrest in connection with the complaint in Crime No.329 of 2025 on  
the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Vijayakumar Sundarraman

For Respondent(s): Ms.R.S.Indira, Govt.Advocate (crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections  
303(2), 325(a) of BNS,2023 read with Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals  
(Development & Regulation ) Act 1957, in Crime No.329 of 2025 on the file of  
the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.




2. The case of the prosecution is that during a routine vehicle check near MRM Rice mill, the respondent police intercepted a tipper lorry bearing Registration No.TN 38 CX 6314 carrying four units of pebbles without valid permission. It is alleged that on seeing the police, the 1<sup>st</sup> accused and the petitioner fled from the spot. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is no way connected to this case and has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner is involved in the illegal transportation of natural resources and earlier a case has been registered as against the petitioner which is similar in nature. He would further submit that, in the event of the petitioner being enlarged on anticipatory bail, there is every likelihood of indulging in similar offences in future. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the records available.



6.From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and considering his past conduct and previous involvement in similar offences, which clearly demonstrates that whenever they were granted bail by the Courts, they have misused the liberty granted to them. In view of the same, if the petitioners are enlarged on bail, they may be emboldened to indulge in similar offences in future without fear of law. Hence, taking into consideration of interest of the society at large, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

7.Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

JRS

**30-06-2026**



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16499 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN J.**

**JRS**

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli.

2.The Inspector of Police  
Neyveli Township Police Station,  
Cuddalore.  
Crime No.329 of 2025

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court.

**CRL OP No. 16499 of 2026**

**30-06-2026**



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16499 of 2

