



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16601 of 2026

and

CrI.M.P.No. 10986 of 2026

g

Prabhakaran,
S/o Palani,
No.50, 2nd Street,
Valluvar Colony,
Lakshmi Nagar, Guduvancherry,
Chengalpattu District – 603 202.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
P-6 Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.345 of 2026)

2. Manoranjitham
W/o Aswin Kumar,
No.11/4, Thangavel Pillai Garden,
2nd Street, Old Washermenpet,
Chennai – 606 305.

..Respondent(s)


Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023, to call for the records in Crime No.345 of 2026 on the file of the P6 Kodungaiyur Police Station at Chennai.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.Prabhu C.

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (CrI. Side) for R1



ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash Crime No.345 of 2026 on the file of the P6 Kodungaiyur Police Station, Chennai for alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNSS, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 2002, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case is that the de facto complainant developed a relationship with the accused and during the course of the same, the accused took undue advantage by taking the money of the de facto complainant and thereafter, it is alleged that he has physically assaulted the de facto complainant and also cheated the de facto complainant of the above amount and also verbally abused the de facto complainant. Subsequently, the parties have ironed out the differences.



4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.16601 of 2026 stands allowed. The Crime No.345 of 2026 on the file of the P-6 Kodungaiyur Police Station stands quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

14-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
P-6 Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16601 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 16601 of 2026

14-07-2026