



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16909 of 2026

1. M.Arun Kumar,
S/o.Murugan,
No.182, 3rd Street,
Vinoba Nagar, Tonderpet,
Chennai - 600 081.
2. R.Murali
S/o.Raji,
No.24/12, 1st Street,
Pudhu Vinoba Nagar,
Tondiarpet, Chennai - 600 081.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep by The Inspector of Police,
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai - 600 081.
Crime No.69 of 2026
2. P.Ponraj
S/o.Pothiraj,
No.59A, 3rd Street,
New Vinoba NAgar,
Tondiarpet, Chennai - 600 081.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the entire records in connection with the case, FIR in Crime No.69 of 2026 pending on the file of the 1st Respondet/Police and Quash the same and pass such further or other orders as this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.K.Naveen Aravindan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran


Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash FIR in Crime No.69 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police for alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 324(2) & 351(2) of BNSS, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case are that when the de facto complainant was training her grand daughter in Cycle, the accused persons are said to have road in a motorcycle in inebriated condition and dashed against the cycle and caused injury in the hand of the de facto complainant's daughter in



law. Upon being confronted, they also abuse the de facto complainant and created threat by destroying the flower pot that was kept in the spot. Today, it is submitted that the parties have ironed out the differences.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.16909 of 2026 stands allowed. The FIR in Crime No.69 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police stands quashed.

09-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai - 600 081.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16909 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 16909 of 2026

09-07-2026