



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 21613 of 2026

and

CMP No.14350 of 2026

1. E.Bhava
2. E.Rajkumar
3. E.Baran
4. M.Sathish
5. C.Vinoth
6. V.K.Sairishi
7. S.Rakesh
8. M.Vikram

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep. by The Inspector of Police
Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.
Cr.No.97/2026

2. N.G.Anilkumar

3. D.John

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the impugned First Information Report in Cr.No.97 of 2026 on the file of the first respondent herein and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Menaga

For Respondent(s):

MR.R.Rajasekaran,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side) For R1



ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the FIR in Crime No.97 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent for alleged offences under Section 140(2) and later altered to Sections 140(2), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The brief facts leading to the registration of the case are that when the defacto complainant owed money to the accused persons, it is alleged that they wrongfully restrained him and tried to abduct him to a particular place. Subsequently, after the registration of the case, it is seen that the defacto complainant has paid back the amount and the parties have ironed out the differences.



4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.21613 of 2026 stands allowed. The FIR in Crime No.97 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent stands quashed. Consequently, connected Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

6. It is needless to stated that with reference to one of the Juvenile accused also the matter shall be treated as closed.

7. Since the case itself quashed, it is submitted that the two cars were seized and produced before the concerned Court, it will be open for the concerned owner of the vehicles to file an application for return of property. As and when the application is filed, the same shall be favourably considered by the Court in accordance with law.

05-08-2026

smv

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

smv

To,

1.The Inspector of Police
Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 21613 of 2026

05-08-2026