



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-07-2026


CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16557 of 2026

1. Dhanachezhiyan
S/o. Perumal,
No.36/32, Yadavar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.
2. Boobalan
S/o. Venkatesan,
No.3, Yadavar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.
3. Jayasuriya
S/o. Krishnamoorthy,
No.13, Kammalar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.
4. Deepak
S/o. Raji,
No.5/2, Kumarasamy Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.
5. Gopi @ Gopinath
S/o. Perumal Raman,
No.25/11, Yadavar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.
6. Vijay
S/o. Suresh,
No.85, Tank Street,
Edakuppam, Anandhalai,
Walajah Taluk, Ranipet District.



7. Prakash
S/o. Srinivasan,
No.23/55, Yadavar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.

8. Santhosh
S/o. Ramakrishnan,
No.20/37, Yadavar Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet Taluk.

9. Nithin
S/o. Sankar,
No.20, Velayudhapani Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.

10. Balaji
S/o. Sankar,
No.20, Veluthyatani Street,
Thimiri, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.

..Petitioner(s)


Vs

1. State Rep by Inspector of Police,
Thimiri Police Station,
Ranipet District.
Crime No.10/2026

2. Vignesh
S/o. Sathishkumar,
No.63, Egambaram Street,
Ramapalayam Village,
Thimiri Post, Ranipet District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS Act, 2023, to call for the records in Crime No.10/2026 pending on the file of 1st Respondent Police, and quash the FIR pending against the Petitioner and thus render justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.D.Thirumoorthy

For Respondent(s):

Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash Crime No.10 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police for alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 324(6) of BNSS, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case is that in an unauthorised manner the de facto complainant along with his friends were sitting in a particular place and having liquor. The accused are said to have questioned them and thereafter seems to have gathered with other persons and have



attacked them and hence, the case is registered. Now it is submitted that the parties have ironed out the difference.

WEB COPY


4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.16557 of 2026 stands allowed. The Crime No.10 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police stands quashed.

09-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN



To

1. Inspector of Police,
Thimiri Police Station,
Ranipet District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16557 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 16557 of 2026

09-07-2026