



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.07.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2026

Srinivasan

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

The State rep. by the Inspector of Police,
CCB Tambaram
Crime No.101 of 2026

... Respondent(s)

Balaji

... Intervenor(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.101 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.P.Jesusmoris Ravi

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Yogaraj Sekar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor(s) : Mr.Mohana Krishnan

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.05.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 406, 420, 468, 471 and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code, in Crime No.101 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner had created forged government documents, such as an Aadhaar Card, a Legal Heirship Certificate and a Death Certificate, and sold the properties belonging to one Mr. Karuppaiah and M/s. Dhalakshmi for a sum of Rs.1.26 crores. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.05.2026 and that, in any event, his further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. It is the specific submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is not a named accused in the First Information Report. Hence, he prayed for the grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of bail, reiterated the prosecution case and, on instructions, submitted that the petitioner, along with the other accused, had created forged documents, including the death certificates of the original landowners, and sold the properties for a sum of Rs.1.26 crores by projecting as though the original owners had died. He further submitted that the petitioner had received a share of Rs.40 lakhs from the transaction, that the



investigation is still pending, and that, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of his tampering with witnesses.

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor, while reiterating the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), submitted that the petitioner is the mastermind behind the entire occurrence and that the petitioner has committed a serious economic offence involving the creation of forged government records and fraudulent alienation of valuable immovable properties.

6. Considering the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) that the investigation is still pending, having regard to the nature and gravity of the allegations, the role attributed to the petitioner, and also the submissions made by the learned counsel for the intervenor, this Court is of the view that it would not be appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10.07.2026

skr



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2026



C.KUMARAPPAN,J.

skr

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate Court II, Chengalpattu
2. The Superintendent, Sub Jail Prison, Chengalpattu
3. The Inspector of Police, CCB Tambaram
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2026

10.07.2026