



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 25302 of 2026

Ajira
W/o. Sadiq Basha,
Rayakottai Post and Village,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent Of Police
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
2. The Tahsildar
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District,
3. The Inspector of Police
Rayakottai Police station,
Krishnagiri District,
4. Ganesan
S/o. Dhuruvasan,
Palaiyur Village,
Rayakottai,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.
5. Madhan
S/o. Dhuruvasan,



Palaiyur Village,
Rayakottai,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

WEB COPY

6. Raman
S/o. Dhuruvasan,
Palaiyur Village,
Rayakottai,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.
7. Lakshmi
W/o. Murugan,
Palaiyur Village,
Rayakottai,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.
8. Madhammal
W/o. Ramachandran,
Palaiyur Village,
Rayakottai,
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 2nd respondent to survey and point out the field boundaries of the petitioner's property comprised in Survey No.285/6B, situated at Pillari Agraharam Village, Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri District to an extent of 5 Ares by fixing stones along with police aid of the 3rd respondent for Survey and also setting up the fencing to the petitioners aforesaid mentioned property in pursuance of the



petitioner's online application dated 16.05.2026 and representation dated 13.06.2026.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr. C.Deepakkumar
For Respondent(s): Ms.Inthu Karunakaran
Government Counsel for R2
Mr.Durai Gunasekaran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
for R1 and R3

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioner's online application dated 16.05.2026 and representation dated 13.06.2026.

2. The case of the petitioner is that she submitted an online application dated 16.05.2026 requesting the second respondent to survey and fix the boundaries of the subject property comprised in Survey No. 285/6B, measuring an extent of 5 Ares, situated at Pillari Agraharam Village, Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri District. The petitioner further sought police aid from the third respondent to secure the property and erect a fence around it. Since the said



application has not been considered till date, the petitioner has constrained to file the present Writ Petition.

WEB COPY

3. Mrs. Inthu Karunakaran, learned Government Advocate appearing for the second respondent, submitted that the petitioner's request for a survey and the fixation of boundary stones would be considered in accordance with law. However, she contended that the prayer seeking a direction for fencing the property cannot be entertained in these proceedings.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on either side, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the second respondent to consider the petitioner's online application dated 16.05.2026 and subsequent representation dated 13.06.2026. The second respondent is directed to conduct a survey of the subject property in Survey No. 285/6B, situated at Pillari Agraharam Village, Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri District, and fix the boundary stones within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after giving due notice to the petitioner as well as any adjacent land owners/interested parties.




5. Acceptance is given to the contention of the learned Government Advocate that the relief sought by the petitioner regarding fencing of the property cannot be granted by this Court. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to approach the competent Civil Court to seek appropriate relief regarding fencing or any boundary dispute in the manner known to law

6. It is made clear that in the event that any disturbance arises, the surveying authority is empowered to seek the assistance of the Police, and the 3rd respondent / jurisdictional Police are mandated to provide necessary police protection. In the event that the subject land under survey is in the possession of either the petitioner or any other concerned party, the recovery of possession can only be effected through the due process of law before the competent Civil Court; under no circumstances shall the respondents engage in taking over possession after completing the survey to hand it over to any party. Such exercise shall be carried out exclusively through the competent Civil Court.

7. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

06-07-2026

Asi



To

1. The Superintendent Of Police
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
2. The Tahsildar
Denkanikottai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District,
3. The Inspector of Police
Rayakottai Police station,
Krishnagiri District,



WEB COPY

WP No. 25302 of 2



RISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

WP No. 25302 of 2026

06-07-2026