



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRP No. 3739 of 2026 AND CMP NO. 16230 OF 2026

K. Balasubramanian

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Citrex Products Ltd
rep by its Director V. Rajendran

2.R. Padma

3.R. Ganesh Raj
Rep by Power of Attorney Holder,
V. Rajendran

4.Rajeswari Sathish

5.R. Sathish Raj

6.V. Rajendran

7.Usha Selvarathinam

8.P. Selvarathinam

9.M. Jeya

10.K. Mahalingaswamy

11.N. Ayyamperumal

12.Viswapriya Financial Services and
Securities Ltd.,
rep by its Managing Director
R. Subramanian

13.Custodial Services India (P) Ltd.,
rep by its Director V. Gopal, E-27,
Anna Nagar East, Chennai 102.



14. Long Short Investments P Ltd.,
rep by its Director V. Rengaswamy

15. Thamaraparani Investment Pvt Ltd.,
rep by its Director V. Gopal

16. Visram Financial Services P Ltd.,
rep by its Director P. Rammohan

17. R. Subramanian

18. M. Rathinakumar

19. S. Chandrasekar

20. Mangalam

21. R. Ganesh

22. P. Ramohan

23. V. Gopal

24. Sumathi Rathinakumar

25. Revathi Gopal

26. Subhiksha Trading Services Pvt
Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director
R. Subramanian

27. Bala Deshpandey


28. R. Hariharan

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To set aside the Order dated 09.06.2026 passed by the Ld. Additional Commercial Judge Egmore, Chennai in Com Suit NO.89 of 2026 on the file of the Ld. Additional Commercial Judge Egmore, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Prakash Goklany For
 Mr. Y.G. Guna Sekar

**ORDER**


WEB COPY

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 09.06.2026 passed in a Memo filed by the counsel on record for the sixth defendant in Com Suit No.89 of 2026.

2.In view of the objection raised by the counsel for the plaintiffs opposing the appearance of Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian for D2 to D8, D12 to D15 and D18 without filing vakalat, Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian, learned counsels have not been allowed to represent the other defendants as an Advocate without filing vakalat through the impugned order.

3.Mr.Prakash Goklaney, learned counsel who is appearing for the petitioner/sixth defendant would submit that despite filing a memo, the Trial Court refused to permit him to argue the matter on behalf of the aforesaid defendants in the suit.

4.A counsel duly authorised by the counsel on record, who has filed the vakalat, can argue the matter. A memo has also been filed by the counsel on record authorising Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian to argue the matter on behalf of D2 to D8, D12 to D15 and D18 without filing vakalat. If




such a memo has been filed, there is no impediment for Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian to represent the aforesaid defendants. Therefore, this

Civil Revision Petition is disposed of by permitting Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian to argue the suit and the Interlocutory Applications on behalf of D2 to D8, D12 to D15 and D18, provided a fresh memo has been filed by the counsel on record for those defendants permitting Mr.Prakash Goklaney and Mr.R.Subramanian to argue on behalf of the said defendants in Com Suit No.89 of 2026 and the Interlocutory Applications if any before the Additional Commercial Court, Egmore, Chennai. Consequently, connected CMP is closed. No costs.

13-07-2026

vga



CRP No. 3739 of 2026



To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Commercial Judge, Egmore, Chennai
2. The Section Officer, VR Section, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3739 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

**CRP No. 3739 of 2026
AND CMP NO. 16230
OF 2026**

13-07-2026