



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16856 of 2026

1. Govindarajan
S/o Nagarajan,
Residing at,
No.9, First Cross Street,
Moorthiswami Colony,
Padi,
Chennai.
2. N.Sudha Devi
W/o Govindarajan,
Residing at,
No.9, First Cross Street,
Moorthiswami Colony,
Padi,
Chennai.


..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police,
T-10, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Chennai – 600 109.
Crime No.192 of 2022
2. Kiruthika Priya,
D/o Jayavelu,
No.104, Vaniyar Street,
Malayankulam,
Kancheepuram.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
praying to call for the records charge sheet in CC.No.814 of 2025, pending on



the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur in Crime no.192 of 2022 on the file of the 1st Respondent police and quash the same against the 1st and 2nd petitioner.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Silambuselvan

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

Order

1.This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No.814 of 2025, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur, for alleged offences under Sections 147, 448, 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC @ 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The accused are present before this Court physically, and the defacto complainant is present virtually. On examination, they stand by their compromise and do not want to proceed further.

2.The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.



3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case is that, on account of previous enmity arising out of a money transaction between the parties, the accused are said to have verbally abused PW1 to PW3 and hit them with their hands, and also threatened to eliminate them.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.16856 of 2026 stands allowed. The charge sheet in C.C.No.814 of 2025, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur, stands quashed.

06-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

AH

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
T10, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Chennai.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16856 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.

AH

CRL OP No. 16856 of 2026

06-07-2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16856 of 2

