



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16780 of 2026

1. Sathiya
S/o. Palanikalyanam,
No.23/15,
Sundhara Moorthy Vinayagar Kovil Street,
Chengalpattu, Chengalpattu District-603 002.
2. Jinnu @ Karthick
S/o. Chandirasekaran,
No.26/11,
Sundhara Moorthy Vinayagar Kovil Street,
Chengalpattu, Chengalpattu District-603 002.
3. Mohanraj @ Mohan
S/o. Lingam,
No.66A, Sashtri Nagar,
Chengalpattu, Chengalpattu District-603 002.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Sub Inspector of Police
Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.65/2019
2. Damu
S/o. Ramurthi Naidu,
No.4-37, Mittkandriga,
Kailambakam, Chittor,
Andhra Pradesh - 517 586.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the proceedings in Crime No.65/2019 on the file Sub



Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Town Police Station, Chengalpattu District and quash the proceedings therein pending against the petitioners herein and pass such further or other orders as this Honorable court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.T.Vijayaraaghavan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1

Mr.R.Narayanan for R2

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash Crime No.65 of 2019 on the file of the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Town for alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 324 & 506(ii) of IPC, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.



3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case is that the de-facto complainant is working as a Counter Staff in the Cinema Theatre. On the day of occurrence, since already the tickets were sold, the tickets were denied to the accused. The accused claiming themselves as the Fan Association Office Bearers abused the de-facto complainant and also threatened to burn the Theatre. Now it is submitted that the parties have ironed out the differences. It is also requested that apart from the FIR, the final report filed also to be quashed.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.16780 of 2026 stands allowed. The Crime No.65 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent Police stands quashed. Apart from the FIR, the final report filed in E-filing No.LTN20230001783C202400304 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. I, Chengalpattu also stands quashed.

09-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

To
WEB COPY

1. The Sub Inspector of Police
Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Chengalpattu.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 16780 of 2026

09-07-2026