



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 19194 of 2026

1. Balaji,
S/o.Raji,
No.42/56, Pari Street, Avvai Nagar,
Choolaimedu,
Chennai – 600094.

2. Vengatesh,
S/o.Veerassamy,
No.J 18, J Block,
M.M.D.A Colony,
Arumbakkam, Chennai - 600106.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, Inspector of Police,
F-5, Choolaimedu,
Police Station, Chennai.

2. Akash,
S/o.Samuel Sathish,
No.26/3, Gangaiyamma Kovil 1st Street,
Vadapalani, Chennai - 600026.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records and quash the F.I.R. registered in Crime No.119 of 2026 against the petitioner pending on the file of the 1st respondent Police and pass such further or other Orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the Case and thus render Justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.C.Jagan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran


Government Counsel(Crl. Side) for R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the FIR in Crime No.119 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police for alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The background facts in which the case is registered is that the de facto complainant as well as the accused are persons acquainted with each other. On the day of occurrence, there arose a wordy quarrel, on account of which, the



offences of abusing and also attacking the de facto complainant is alleged.

Subsequently, the parties have ironed out the differences.

WEB COPY

4. Even though this case is filed for quashing the First Information Report, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that already charge sheet has also been filed before the XVII- Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet in LTN20230000809C202600148.

5. In view thereof, this Crl.O.P. No.19194 of 2026 stands allowed. The FIR in Crime No.119 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police and the final report in LTN20230000809C202600148 on the file of the learned XVII- Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet shall stand quashed.

21-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
F-5, Choolaimedu,
Police Station, Chennai.
2. The XVII – Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet,
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.

CRL OP No. 19194 of 2026

21-07-2026