



WEB COPY

WP No. 21409 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

**WP No. 21409 of 2022
And WMP No. 20411 of 2022**

Podhu Thurai Vaniga vangi Thodarbargal Nala
Sangam
Rep. by its General Secretary Regn. No.SRG /
Namakkal / 139 / 2022 No.3/335, Mohanur Road,
Valayapatti, Namakkal District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Government of India
Rep by its Secretary Ministry of Labour Shram
Mantralaya New Delhi- 110 001.
2. The Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)
Office of the Deputy Chief Labour
Commissioner (Central) No.4 Haddows Road,
Shastri Bhavan, Chennai- 600 006.
3. Indian Overseas Bank
Rep.by Managing Director Cum Chief
Executive Officer, No.762, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002.

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking Writ of Mandamus to forbear the 3rd respondent from altering the service conditions of the 683 members of the Petitioner Sangam, whose names are given in the annexure to the Writ Petition in any manner including discontinuance of Service without getting permission under Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in the industrial dispute date 16.06.2022 raised regarding regularization



and to extend other service benefits to the 683 employees shown in the Annexure to the writ petition which is pending conciliation before the 2nd respondent as dispute No. M.7 / 35 / 2022 B3 and to direct the 2nd respondent to conciliate the dispute and effect settlement and if no settlement is forthcoming to submit failure report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act to the 1st respondent and in turn direct the 1st respondent Government to refer the dispute for adjudication before the competent adjudicator.


For Petitioner(s): Na

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Sathish Kumar for Mr.T.S.Gopalan & Co for R3

ORDER

It is seen from the records that by order dated 07.07.2026, this Court, taking note of the submission of the learned counsel that the petitioner had taken back the case bundle and had not engaged any counsel thereafter, directed the Registry to print the name of the petitioner in the cause list under the caption “for dismissal” and also directed the removal of the name of the erstwhile counsel from the cause list.

2. Today, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It is evident that the petitioner is not interest in prosecuting the Writ Petition.



3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous Petition is closed.

K. SURENDER, J.
21-07-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

JAI

To

1. Government of India
Rep by its Secretary Ministry of Labour Shram
Mantralaya New Delhi- 110 001.
2. The Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)
Office of the Deputy Chief Labour
Commissioner (Central) No.4 Haddows Road,
Shastri Bhavan, Chennai- 600 006.
3. Indian Overseas Bank
Rep.by Managing Director Cum Chief
Executive Officer, No.762, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002.



WEB COPY

WP No. 21409 of 2



K.SURENDER J.

JAI

**WP No. 21409 of 2022
AND
WMP NO. 20411 OF 2022,CONT P NO. 1985 OF 2022**

21-07-2026