



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16337 of 2026

1. A.Sharmila
W/o.Ayyappan (Late),
Residing at
No.22, 4th Street, Nadukuppam,
Triplicane, Chennai - 600 005.
2. A.Pavesh
S/o.Ayyappan (Late),
Both are Residing at
No.22, 4th Street, Nadukuppam,
Triplicane, Chennai - 600 005.
3. J.Anand
S/o.Jothi,
No.5, Pudu Nagar, 1st Street,
Ayanavaram, Chennai - 600 023.
4. R.Vijay
S/o.Ramamoorthi,
No.53, Kuttiappan Street,
Pumping Station Lane,
Kilpakkam, Chennai - 600 010.
5. M.Balaram
S/o.Murugesan,
No.12, South High Court Colony,
2nd Street, Villivakkam,
Chennai - 600 049.
6. S.Raju
S/o.Sivalingam,
No.207, thanthai Periyar Nagar,
M.G.R. Street, Korattur,
Chennai - 600 080.



7. Muthupandian
S/o.Appukuti,
No.94B, Kamarajar Nagar,
8th Street, Korattur,
Chennai - 600 080.

8. M.Deepak
S/o.Manoharan,
No.9/303, B tyed 48th Street,
Shipco Nagar,
Villivakkam, Chennai - 600 049.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep.by The Inspector of Police,
R-5, Virugambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
Cr.No.196 of 2026


2. Swarnalakshmi
W/o.Mahesh,
Residing at No.9, G2, Bharathi Apartment,
Abusalai Street, Saligramam,
Chennai - 600093.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, praying to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.196 of 2026 on the file of the 1st Respondent and quash the same and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.B.Balamurugan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Crl. Side)




Order

WEB COPY 1. This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the FIR in Crime No.196 of 2026, on the file of the first respondent, for alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 296(b), 133, 305 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 and Section 3 of the Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case are that it is a case and counter arising out of a quarrel that ensued upon the vehicle being



parked in front of the house of the defacto complainant, and subsequently, the conflict escalated, resulting in the registration of both cases.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P.No.16337 of 2026 stands allowed. The FIR in Crime No.196 of 2026, on the file of the first respondent police stands quashed.

06-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

AH

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
R-5, Virugambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16337 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.

AH

CRL OP No. 16337 of 2026

06-07-2026