



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 07-07-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 13854 of 2026**

1. Kirubakaran
2. Ram Singh @ Ramsingh Nareti
3. Dhurjan Sing @ Dhurjansing Achla

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

STATE REP BY.The Inspector of Police  
Vellakovil Police Station,  
Vellakovil.  
Tiruppur District.  
(Crime No.179 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of their in Crime No.179 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police and thus render justice.

|                    |                                                 |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s): | Mr.C S Saravanan C S                            |
| For Intervenor     | Mr.N.Stalin                                     |
| For Respondent(s): | Ms.R.S.Indira<br>Government Advocate (Crl.Side) |

### **ORDER**

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 45, 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 (107, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC), in Crime No.179 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police



seek anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the accused wrongfully restrained the de facto complainant and others, and assaulted them, causing injuries. Hence, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is a case and counter-case. The occurrence took place on 18.05.2026. The de facto complainant is a watchman at a company where the petitioners are former employee. When the petitioners attempted to enter the company premises, the de facto complainant intercepted him, leading to an altercation during which the complainant was allegedly assaulted.

4. The learned counsel for the Intervenor raised objection for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent, while opposing the application, fairly submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital on 02.06.2026.



6. Considering the facts that the Injured person has already been discharged, the occurrence took place on 18.05.2026, and the FIR was registered only on 25.05.2026, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage. Therefore, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kangayam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each, with two sureties each (one blood surety and one local surety), for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner(s) fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner(s) in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner(s) released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner(s) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

07-07-2026  
1/2

GBI

To

- 1.STATE REP BY.The Inspector of Police  
Vellakovil Police Station,  
Vellakovil.  
Tiruppur District.  
(Crime No.179 of 2026)
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate, Kangayam.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13854 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**GBI**

**CRL OP No. 13854 of 2026**

**07-07-2026**