



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Arb.O.P(COM.DIV.)No.337 of 2026

Shri Krishna Enterprises,
A Proprietorship Firm,
Rep. by its Sole Proprietor,
Mrs.Rakhi Pathak,
Ward No.32, Bajrang Nagar,
Panna Road, Near Shankar Ji Mandir,
Chhatarpur,
Madhya Pradesh – 471 001,
Mobile No.79749 70494,
Email : shrikrishnaenterprises15023@gmail.com,
also office at Airport Terminal,
Rishikesh Road, Dehrudun Airport,
Jolly Grant, Doiwala,
Dehradun, Uttarkhand – 248 016.


..Petitioner

Vs

M/s.Vidvedaa PRG Aero and Ports Voyage
Private Limited,
A Company incorporated under
The Companies Act, 2013,
CIN:U77400TN2023PTC159651,
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory,
Olympia Teknos Park,
Level-4, Plot No.28,
SIDCO Industrial Estate, Guindy,
Chennai – 600 032, Tamil Nadu.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Petition filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, to appoint an Arbitrator for the resolution of disputes under the Sub-Concession Agreement dated 25.06.2025, to adjudicate the disputes between the petitioner and the respondent herein.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Ms.Janani Shankar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Aswinraj


ORDER

This Arbitration Original Petition has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 to appoint an Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the petitioner and the respondent in accordance with the terms of the Sub-Concession Agreement dated 25.06.2025.

2. Heard Ms.Janani Shankar, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. From a reading of the Sub-Concession Agreement dated 25.06.2025 entered into between the petitioner and the respondent, it could be seen that the parties have agreed to resolve their disputes by appointing an Arbitrator. The petitioner issued a formal notice in December 2025, calling upon the respondent to mutually appoint a Sole Arbitrator. In spite of the same, the respondent has not chosen to respond.

4. However, when the matter was taken up for hearing today, Mr.S.Aswinraj, learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that he has no objection for appointment of an Arbitrator to enter upon the dispute that had arisen between the parties.



5. In view of the Sub-Concession Agreement and considering the nature of claim, this Court deems it appropriate to appoint an Arbitrator. Accordingly, **Mr.K.Harishankar**, Advocate, (Mobile No.98410 76561), having office at 'Capitale' 9th Floor, No.554/555, Anna Salai, Teynampet, Chennai – 600 018, is appointed as Sole Arbitrator to enter upon the reference and resolve the disputes between the petitioner and the respondent.


6. The learned Sole Arbitrator is entitled to fix his fees as per the Schedule-IV of the Act. This Court further requests the learned Sole Arbitrator to endeavour to decide the dispute as expeditiously as possible.

7. Accordingly, this Arbitration Original Petition is ordered. No costs.

08-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

vji



To

WEB COPY

1. The Madras High Court Arbitration Centre,
Madras.
2. Mr.K.Harishankar,
Advocate,
(Mobile No.98410 76561),
Having office at 'Capitale' 9th Floor,
No.554/555, Anna Salai,
Teynampet,
Chennai – 600 018



WEB COPY

Arb.O.P(COM.DIV.)No.337 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

vji

Arb.O.P(COM.DIV.)No.337 of 2026

08-07-2026