



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-07-2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL.O.P.No.11231 of 2026

and CRL.M.P.No.7960 & 7961 of 2026

1. Saravanakumar, S/o.Kaniraja,
46B, Rajiv Nagar,
Palayakottai Road, Kangayam Town,
Tiruppur District.
2. Madhankumar, S/o. Kumaravel,
39/1, Rajiv Nagar,
Palayakottai Road, Kangayam Town,
Tiruppur District.
3. Gokul
77/1, Thiru.V.K.Nagar,
Tiruppur Road,
Kangayam Town, Tiruppur District.
4. Karthikeyan @ Karthi, S/o. Pandiyan,
122, Pulikalmedu 1st Street,
Kangayam, Tiruppur District.

..Petitioners

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu
Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
Kangayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District, Cr.No.493/2025
2. Gokulakrishnan
S/o. SenthilRajeswari,
12/19, Rajiv Nagar,
Palayakottai Road,
Kangayam, Tiruppur District.

..Respondents



PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for the entire records quash the final report riled in CC.No.659 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kangeyam as against the petitioners and pass such other further or other orders.

For Petitioners: Mr.V.Regunathan

For Respondents: Mr.Mohamed Riyaz,
Government Advocate (Criminal side) For R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to quash the final report and proceedings in C.C.No.659 of 2025. Even though the petition was filed on merits, today, when the matter came up for hearing, the parties were present and a Joint Compromise Memo is filled. The learned counsel seeks to quash the case on compromise.

2. I also examined the *de facto* complainant. He would submit that the case can be quashed on compromise. The accused persons are also present.

3. The Joint Compromise Memo is filled, which is duly signed by the parties. Upon considering the allegations, it is stated that when the *de facto* complainant's mother was standing at a particular place, a bike was driven in a rash and negligent manner and when the *de facto* complainant's mother confronted the same, the accused 1 to 4, who thereafter came to the spot,



committed the offence. They have threatened and man-handled and caused simple injuries to the victim.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that the facts of this case would permit this Court to exercise its extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and the case comes within the four corners of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab***¹, and is liable to be quashed.

5. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition in CrI.O.P.No.11231 of 2026 stands allowed. The final report and all for the proceedings in C.C.No.659 of 2025 shall stand quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

03-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

sts

¹Reported in 2012 (10) SCC 303



WEB COPY

CRL.O.P.No.11231 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.

sts

To:

- 1) The Judicial Magistrate,
Kangeyam.
- 2) The Inspector of Police,
Kangayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
Cr.No.493/2025
- 3) The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Order made in
CRL.O.P.No.11231 of 2026

Dated:
03-07-2026