



WEB COPY

WP No. 17207 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 17207 of 2023

1. R.Nithiyananthan
S/o Late B.P. Ramasamy No 51 A Palaniandavar
Koil 2nd Street Bhavani Erode District 638 301
2. R. Tamil Selvi
D/o Late B.P. Ramasamy No 51 A Palaniandavar
Koil 2nd Street Bhavani Erode District 638 301

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Land Commissioner (Land Reforms)
Chepauk, Chennai 600 005
2. The Revenue Divisional officer
Dharmapuri
3. The District Revenue officer
Dharmapuri
4. The Tashildar
Kariyamangalam Dharmapuri District

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 4th respondent to make entry the petitioners' grand father's name B.P. Ramasamy's name as



owners / patathar and then the petitioners' name in the revenue records in respect of the following land S. No. Survey No. Extent in Acres 1. 216/1 4.50, 2. 217/1 9.48, 3. 223/1 2.84, 4. 223/2 5.44 total 22.26 pursuant to the TNGG dated 02.05.2018 and within a time to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner(s): Ms. S.Jeevitharamani

For Respondent(s): Ms.Inthu Karunakaran

Government's Counsel

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 4th respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 30.03.2023.

2. The case of the petitioners is that a representation was submitted on 30.03.2023 to the 4th respondent, requesting the entry of the name of the petitioners' grandfather, B.P. Ramasamy, as the owner/pattadar, and subsequently the names of the petitioners, in the revenue records for the lands in Survey Nos. 216/1, 217/1, 223/1, and 223/2, situated at Vagurappampatty, Karimangalam Taluk. Since the 4th respondent has failed to consider the said representation till date, the petitioners have filed the present Writ Petition seeking appropriate relief.




3. Ms.Inthu Karunakaran, learned Government Counsel appearing for the respondents, submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 30.03.2023 would be considered by the respondents 2 to 4 and appropriate orders would be passed on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight (8) weeks, after issuing notice to the petitioners as well as other interested parties.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on either side, this Court, without going into the merits of the matter, directs the respondents 2 to 4 to consider the petitioners' representation dated 30.03.2023. The respondents 2 to 4 shall pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after issuing prior notice to the petitioners as well as the counter-parties, and affording them an opportunity of a personal hearing.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

28-07-2026

Asi



To

1. The Land Commissioner (Land Reforms)
Chepauk, Chennai 600 005
2. The Revenue Divisional officer
Dharmapuri
3. The District Revenue officer
Dharmapuri
4. The Tashildar
Kariyamangalam Dharmapuri District

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

WP No. 17207 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

WP No. 17207 of 2023

28-07-2026