



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-06-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 10739 of 2026

1. S. Panneerselvam

S/o S.Sekar, Door No.3/634, South Street,
Thachur Village, Tittagudi Taluk, Cuddalore
District. Presently residing at Chennai

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tittagudi, Cuddalore District

3.The Inspector of Police,
Ramanatham Police Station, Cuddalore District

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To direct the 3rd respondent to comply the decree and judgement of the Hon'ble Sub-ordinate Court at Thittakudi in O.S.No.184 of 2018 and consequential four representations given to the respondents and the final representation dated 15.12.2025 to be consider and provide adequate Police protection and restrain the opposite party from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Karuppaiya

For Respondent(s): M/s.R. Rajasekaran
Government Advocate (crl. Side)
For R1 To R3




ORDER

The petitioner has got some dispute over the property with his blood brother Ganesan and there has been a constant fight and abuse and threat by both of them. The petitioner had earlier filed a civil suit in O.S.No.184 of 2018 which is an injunction suit for peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property as against his brother Ganesan, in which the Sub Court, Thittakudi, by judgment dated 13.11.2019 had decreed the suit in favour of the petitioner restraining the brother Ganesan not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property. Thus being, while the brother violating the judgment of the Civil Court, gave a false complaint and also encroaching the property.

2.The respondent police, despite informing about the Civil Court order in favour of the petitioner, but for some reason, ignoring the same calling the petitioner at odd hours and thereby causing harassment. The petitioner's brother lodged a complaint, in which the petitioner was called for enquiry. For service of summons the petitioner was called and he was not appeared before the respondent police, but approached this Court stating that the respondent police are harassing.

3.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made, it is seen that there is some civil dispute between the brothers and due to



which a civil suit has been filed which was decreed in favour of the petitioner.

Despite the same, his brother gave complaint to the police and the police entertaining the same and advised the counter petitioner Ganesan to approach the Civil Court to seek remedy. This is particularly with regard to the civil dispute. The petitioner's apprehension is that his brother is consistently abusing and attempting to attack him. If any complaint is lodged by the petitioner, the respondent police is directed to consider the same.

4. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

No costs.

25-06-2026

krk

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The learned Subordinate Judge, Sub Court, Thittakudi.

1. The Superintendent of Police

Office of the Superintendent of Police, Cuddalore District

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tittagudi, Cuddalore District.

3. The Inspector of Police,

Ramanatham Police Station, Cuddalore District.

4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10739 of 2



M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

krk

Crl.O.P.No.10739 of 2026

25.06.2026