



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 16450 of 2026

and

Crl.M.P.No. 10868 of 2026

Lokeshram,
S/o Varatharajan,
No.4/78, Pallar Street School North,
Vallaiyapatti, Thiraiyur,
Thiruchirapalli - 621 003.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
Adambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
Cr.No.437 of 2019.


2. E.Mohanapriya
D/o Ethiraj,
D.No.1/64, 2nd Floor,
Perumal Kovil Street,
Kovilambakkam,
Sholinganallur District,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 129.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition file under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to call for the records relating to SC.No.162 of 2022 in Cr.No.437 of 2019 on the file of Honble Sessions Judge, Mahila Court at Chengalpattu and compromise quash the same and pass such further or other orders.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.C.Srinivasan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1



ORDER

The prayer in the Criminal Original Petition is made to quash the final report in SC.No.162 of 2022 on the file of the learned Sessions Judge, Mahila Court at Chengalpattu.

2. The parties seeks quashing the proceedings on compromise. Both the victims, namely the de facto complaint / E.Mohanapriya and her sister, Jayanthi are present before the Court and the petitioner accused is also present before the Court. All of them stand to their position that the differences between them is ironed out and the victim, Jayanthi and the petitioner, Lokeshram are living together and they have also a child born to them.

3. In this case, it is stated that on account of the marital discord and the problem within the family, the allegation is made as if the petitioner tried to set the first victim, Jayanthi on fire and also tried to throw acid on the de facto complainant / her sister. It is seen that there is no injuries on both the victims and both the victims submit that the matrimonial discord has been resolved and the petitioner and Jayanthi are living together as on date.

4. Considering their wellbeing and considering the dictum laid down by the Honourable Supreme Court of India in ***BS Joshi and others Vs. the State of Haryana and Another, (AIR 2003 SC 1386)***, I am of the view that since the



allegations arise out of a matrimonial discord and subsequently the parties have ironed out the differences and are living together. In spite of the seriousness of the allegations, this is a fit case for exercise of power as it is purely in between the couple and also the wellbeing of the couple and their tender child.

5. In view thereof, the Crl.O.P.No.16450 of 2026 is allowed and all the final report and all further proceedings in SC.No.162 of 2022 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Mahila Court, Chengalpattu stands quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

15-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Adambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Sessions Judge,
Mahila Court, Chengalpattu.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16450 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 16450 of 2026

15-07-2026