



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 18474 of 2026

Alamelu,
W/o.Dhoobamani,
No.55/7,Rajasekaran lane,
Mylapore, Chennai-4.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Sub Registrar,
Vallathi Registrar Office,
Vizhupuram District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Certiorarified Mandamus, Calling for the records relating to the impugned refusal slip no.RFL/vallathi/5/2026 by the respondent dated 11.03.2026 and quash the same as illegal and ultra virus and consequently direct the respondent to register and release deed dated 11.03.2026 presented by the petitioner

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Karthik

For Respondent(s): Ms.Anu Ganesan, AGP

Order

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned refusal slip dated 11.03.2026 passed by the respondent.



2. Ms. Anu Ganesan, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf respondents.

3. By consent of the parties, the main petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner presented a release deed for the purpose of registration which was erroneously refused to be registered by the respondent invoking Section 34-C of the Tamil Nadu (Registration) Amendment Act 2025, on the ground that original parent document has not been produced for the purpose of registration. Hence, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. Further, he would submit that this Court has already struck down Section 34-C of Registration Act in the case of ***Ms. Dugars vs. State of Tamil Nadu and Ors. in WP. No. 7415 of 2026 dated 23.06.2026*** and therefore, the petitioner is not required to produce the original parent document of sale deed for registration of release Deed.

4. In reply, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would also fairly submit that as Section 34-C of the Registration Act



has been struck down by this Court, there is no requirement of production of the parent document for registration of the partition deed. She would further submit that if the petitioner re-presents the release deed for registration, the same will be considered and disposed after conducting proper enquiry.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the respondent and also perused the entire materials available on record.

6. In the case on hand, as rightly contended by the petitioner, as Section 34-C of the Registration Act has been struck down by this Court, there is no requirement of the parent document for registration of the release deed. Therefore, this Court feels that it would be appropriate to set aside the impugned refusal check slip.

7. Accordingly, the impugned refusal Check Slip dated 11.03.2026 is hereby set aside and the petitioner is directed to re-present the release deed dated 11.03.2026 for registration. Upon such re-presentation, the respondent shall register the same without insisting of the parent document for registration of the release deed dated 11.03.2026, within a period of one week from the date of re-presentation.



8. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

06-08-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsa

To

The Sub Registrar,
Vallathi Registrar Office,
Vizhupuram District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 18474 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

nsa

WP No. 18474 of 2026

06-08-2026