



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY


WP No. 26579 of 2026

Veerappan @ Muthusamy
S/o. Chitha Vannaan,
Veerapandiya Kattabomman Nagar,
Near Aadhi Parasakthi Koil,
Mettur Dam 1, Mettur Taluk,
Salem district

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector/
District Revenue officer (DRO),
Salem district, Salem
2. The Revenue Divisional officer (RDO)/ Sub
Collector
Sub Collector office Mettur,
Mettur Taluk, Salem district
3. The Tahsildar
Mettur Taluk,
Salem district
4. Mathappan
S/o. Kuttiyannagounder,
Nallukuttai,
Kannamoochi village,
Mettur Taluk,
Salem district 636 303
5. Alagappan
S/o. Kuttiyannagounder,
Nallukuttai,
Kannamoochi village,
Mettur Taluk,
Salem district 636 303



6. Ponnusamy
S/o. Kuttiyannagounder,
Nallukuttai,
Kannamoochi village,
Mettur Taluk,
Salem district 636 303

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner revision petition dated 14.03.2018 challenging the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.No. 638/ 2017- A dated 20.02.2018 within such time frame as may be fixed by this Honble court

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.Ashok Kumar


For Respondent(s): Ms.Inthu Karunakaran, Govt. Counsel
for R1 to 3

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's revision petition dated 14.03.2018.

2. Ms.Inthu Karunakaran, learned Government's counsel, takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3.

3. By consent of the parties, the main petition is taken up for disposal in the stage of admission itself.




4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner filed a revision petition dated 14.03.2018 before the 1st respondent against the order dated 20.02.2018 passed by the 2nd respondent. However, the said revision petition was not at all considered by the 1st respondent till date. Hence, this petition.

5. In reply, the learned counsel for the respondent would submit that the petitioner's representation will be disposed of within a period of 4 weeks and hence, she requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the respondent and also perused the entire materials available on record.

7. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, this Court directs the 1st respondent to consider the revision petition filed by the petitioner dated 14.03.2018 and after issuing notice to the petitioner, dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



8. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

WEB COPY

13-07-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsa

To

1. The District Collector/
District Revenue officer (DRO),
Salem district, Salem
2. The Revenue Divisional officer (RDO)/ Sub
Collector
Sub Collector office Mettur,
Mettur Taluk, Salem district
3. The Tahsildar
Mettur Taluk,
Salem district



WEB COPY

WP No. 26579 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

nsa

WP No. 26579 of 2026

13-07-2026