



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7923 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7923 of 2026

Sundarrajan S/o. Narayanan,
No.285, Pillayar Koil Street,
Venkatesanpatti Village,
Thirumani Post,
Cheyyar Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State represented by:

The Sub-Inspector of Police
Anakkavoor Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.15 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.15 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.S.R. Gulshankumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

FOR INTERVENOR MR.E.SATHIYARAJ

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



10.03.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 140(2), 310 and 311 of B.N.S in Cr. No.15 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The *case of the prosecution* is that on 03.03.2026 at about 10.30 p.m., near Irungal village, the accused waylaid the defacto complainant, abducted him and threatened him at knife point and snatched gold jewels and Rs.10,000/- from him at knife point. They further threatened the mother of the defacto complainant to bring her jewels and they obtained her jewels through a cousin of the defacto complainant and hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he is under incarceration since 10.03.2026, that the defacto complainant is the cousin of the petitioner and there was money dispute and in furtherance of which, the petitioner went to the residence of the defacto complainant and the present case has been registered against him and the alleged robbed gold jewels and money have been recovered and hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. The said contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is totally objected by the learned counsel for the intervenor and he would submit that some of the accused are yet to be arrested and some properties are yet to be recovered.



5. The very same contention has been reiterated by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police and he strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that some of the accused are yet to be secured.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Taking into consideration of the fact that there are 10 accused involved in this case and only four accused have been remanded so far and upon the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the intervenor that some of the properties are yet to be recovered, it is not appropriate time to consider the bail application and therefore, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

MJS

30-03-2026



Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Anakkavoor Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7923 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 7923 of 2026

30-03-2026