



WEB COPY



A.No.1416 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE

A No. 1416 of 2026

in

C.S. No.680 of 2012

Agriculture Insurance Co.
Of India Ltd. 1st Flr, Andhra Insurance Bldg.,
No.323, Thambuchetty St., Ch-1

..Applicant(s)

Vs

1. State Bank Of India
Circle Top House, No.16, College Lane, Ch-6,
Rep.By Chief G.M.
2. State Bank Of India
Mmda Colony Branch, J/13 1st Flr
Vinayagapuram Main Rd., Ch-108, Rep.By
Branch Manager
3. Kesavan
State Bank Of India, Adb Branch, No.144
Kalpana Theatre Rd., Udumalpet-642126
4. Govardanan
Centralized Clearing Processin Centre, State
Bank Of India, Coimbatore-641018
5. G.Chackrapani
No.4/897, Mugappair West, Ch-37
6. Mr.M.Salai Gunathangam,
S/o.Meivazhi Maasillandar, Senguttaikadu
Village and Post, Munjanoor, Tiruchengodu



A.No.1416 of 2026

Taluk, Namakkal District.

7. Mr.K.Kalyanasundaram,
S/o.Kaliyaperumal, No.12, Appa Rao Garden,
Shenoy Nagar, Chennai - 30 (D6 and D7 are
impleaded as per order dt-20.04.2022 in
A.No.1749/2021).

..Respondent(s)

To permit the Petitioner/Plaintiff to file the xerox copy of the following documents (i) Letter of Authorisation dated 19/12/2025 (ii) Ex-Parte Order dated 16/02/2023 passed by the Honble High Court in eh above suit (iii) Ex-Parte order dated 06/06/2024 passed by the Honble High Court in the above suit (iv) To Know Your Customer (KYP) norms pertaining to the year 2008 (v) Disciplinary action initiated against the 5th Defendant who was working as the Record Clerk with the Plaintiffs company including the Articles of charge and statement of imputation of misconduct/misbehaviour letter dated 16/06/2010 along with the annexures (vi) Letter of Demand and Recovery of compensation dated 25/09/2009 issued by the Plaintiff to the 2nd Defendant bank stating that the 2nd Defendant bank has committed serious lapses and negligence causing severe financial losses to the plaintiff company in the above suit and thus render justice.

For Applicant(s): M/S.R.S. Lakshmi Priya
No.3, Nsr Villa, Azeez Nagar, 1st St,
Kodambakkam, Ch-24
Mob-8056065773
Change Of Vak For Plaintiff-
d.No-30072/2025-filed On-30/07/2025

For Respondent(s): P.Ravishankar
For D3-d.No.30823/13
M/s.K.Chandrasekaran
R.Ezhilyarasan
R.Vigneshwaran



WEB COPY



A.No.1416 of 2026

For D1andd2-d.No.14529and14530/17
Plot No.271, Iii Avenue, C-sector, Anna
Nagar West Extn. Ch-101
(d2 And D3 - W/s Filed ; D1 - Adoption
Memo Filed)
D4 To D7 - Set Ex-parte On 16/02/2023
Issues Framed On 06/02/2018

ORDER

The application in A. No.1416 of 2026 in C.S. No.680 of 2012 has been filed by the plaintiff seeking leave to mark, as additional documents, six documents, namely, the letter of authorisation dated 19.12.2025, the ex parte orders dated 16.02.2023 and 06.06.2024, the 2008 KYC norms, the disciplinary-action papers against the fifth defendant, and the letter dated 25.09.2009 addressed to the second defendant Bank. The affidavit filed in support of the application itself states that what is sought to be produced are only xerox copies of those documents.

2. The averments in support of the application are that the authorisation letter came into existence only on 19.12.2025, that the two ex parte orders were passed after institution of the suit, that the KYC norms could not be obtained earlier, and that the remaining documents were not traceable at the time of filing of the plaint. On that basis, the plaintiff seeks permission to file the said xerox copies as additional documents through PW2.



A.No.1416 of 2026

WEB COPY

3.This Court is not inclined to grant the relief sought. What is admittedly sought to be received are only xerox copies. In the absence of a proper case made out for reception of secondary evidence, xerox copies cannot be received as additional documents merely on request. The affidavit does not set out the necessary particulars for bringing the case within section 63 and 65 of the Evidence Act. A mere xerox copy of a document cannot be admitted as secondary evidence in the absence of foundational proof satisfying Sections 63 and 65 of the Evidence Act. **[H. Siddiqui v. A. Ramalingam, (2011) 4 SCC 240]** Therefore, the prayer to receive the said xerox copies as additional documents cannot be entertained.

4.Accordingly, the application stands dismissed. No costs.

02-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

AY

4/5



WEB COPY



A.No.1416 of 2026

DR.A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

AY

**A No. 1416 of 2026
in
C.S. No. 680 of 2012**

02-04-2026