



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 20-08-2026**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH**

**CRP No. 758 of 2025  
and CMP No. 4319 of 2025**

M.A.Sravanti Pushpa

Petitioner(s)

Vs

G.Vinay Prakash

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER** Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the fair and decretal order dated 23.01.2025 passed in IA No. 1 of 2024 in HMOP No. 5347 of 2023 on the file of Principal Judge, Family Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Rajendrakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.D.Selvaraj

**ORDER**

When the matter was taken up for hearing today, a memo was filed by the respondent and the relevant portion is extracted hereunder:

- 1) The The Respondent herein respectfully submits that the C.M.P No.4319/2025 filed by Petitioner to claim arrears of Maintenance amount of Rs. 10,50,000/-.



WEB COPY

2) The Respondent respectfully submit that both the parties had agreed for a full and final settlement of Rs. 80,00,000/- (Rupees Eighty Lakhs only) to be paid by respondent/husband to the petitioner/wife towards permanent alimony on or before 30.09.2025.

3) The Respondent respectfully submit that the Respondent already paid part amount of Sum of Rs.30,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Lakhs Only) via DD No 889681 on 04.02.2026 in favour of the Petitioner and also the Petitioner pay sum of Rs.25,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Lakhs only) via DD No 889697 on 07.04.2026 in favour of his 1st son namely Gauttam Krishna V and now the Petitioner pay sum of Rs.25,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Lakhs only) via DD No 087360 on 17.08.2026 in favour of his 2nd son namely V S Shreedhar and Kindly record this memo.

2.The learned counsel for the respondent submitted that with the payment of a sum of Rs.25 Lakhs in the name of the second son, the entire amount that was agreed to be paid before the Mediation Centre has been settled.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the cheque was presented in the bank only yesterday.

4.In reply to the same, the learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the cheque was given only as security and now that the entire payment has been made, the petitioner must be directed to return back the cheque.



5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that immediately after the cheque is returned by the Bank, it will be returned back to the respondent.

WEB COPY

6. By virtue of the settlement between the parties, the parties have now agreed to dissolve the marriage through mutual consent.

7. In the light of the above development, nothing further is required to be considered in the Civil Revision Petition and the same is closed. There shall be a direction to both the parties to strictly comply with the agreement entered into and proceed further with the dissolution of marriage by mutual consent and also comply with the conditions that were agreed upon in the Settlement Agreement dated 25.08.2025. No Costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**20-08-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Internet: Yes  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
ssr



WEB COPY



**N.ANAND VENKATESH J.**

SSI

To

The Principal Judge, Family Court, Chennai.

**CRP No. 758 of 2025**

**20-08-2026**