



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-03-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 10665 of 2026 AND WMP NO. 11571 OF 2026

M/S.V.O.C.Residents Public Welfare
Society,
Represented by its president,
Tr.Lingakumar, No.1674, RDO
Subbaiya pillai street, Royappa nagar,
varatharajapuram, kancheepuram
District, Tamilnadu - 600048.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.The Assistant Director of Survey,
Kancheepuram District.
- 3.The Sub Registrar,
O/o.The Sub Registrar, Padappai, South
Chennai Registration District, Chennai.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
kundrathur taluk, Kancheepuram
District.
- 5.The Block Development Officer,
padappai, kundrathur, Kancheepuram
District.
- 6.The President,
Varadharajapuram Panchayat,
Kundrathur Taluk, Chennai.



7. Tamilnadu State Government Office
Assistants and Basic Servants State
Central Association,
No.30/182, Jagajeevanram road,
chepauk, chennai - 600005.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Calling for the records of the impugned order dated 03.11.2025 bearing Na.Ka.No.1284/2024/AA2 passed by the 4th respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the 1st respondent to take possession of the OSR Lands earmarked for public purposes.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.B.Rajasekaran

For Respondent(s): Mr.D.Ravichander,
SGP For R1 to R4
Mr.C.Jayaprakash
Govt.Advocate For R5 and R6
R7 notice dispensed with

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 03.11.2025 passed by the fourth respondent, rejecting the petitioner's request for taking action against the seventh respondent for misuse of OSR lands.

2. According to the petitioner, under the approved layout developed by the seventh respondent, OSR lands are being misused by the seventh respondent. The petitioner contends that some of the lands have already been sold by the seventh respondent to third parties. The said request has been rejected on the



ground that OSR lands remain to be vacant. The petitioner had earlier sought for survey and demarcation of the boundaries as well as for taking action against the seventh respondent for the alleged misuse of the OSR lands by them.

3.Mr.D.Ravichander, learned Special Government Pleader accepts notice on behalf of respondents 1 to 4. Mr.C.Jayaprakash, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents 5 and 6. Since no adverse order is being passed against the seventh respondent, notice to the seventh respondent is dispensed with by this Court.

4.Admittedly, survey and demarcation of boundaries was completed by the fourth respondent, but the request for taking action against the seventh respondent for the alleged misuse of the OSR lands and for restoration of the same have been rejected. Admittedly, the competent authority for taking action against the seventh respondent for the alleged misuse of the OSR lands is the fifth respondent and not the fourth respondent, who has passed the impugned order.

5.In view of the same, necessarily, the petitioner will have to give a fresh representation to the fifth respondent to take action against the seventh respondent for the alleged misuse of the OSR lands and for restoration of the lands for public purposes.



6.Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions would submit that the petitioner is willing to submit a fresh representation to the fifth respondent for the aforesaid purpose and he requests this Court to issue direction to the fifth respondent to consider the said representation, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving due consideration to the supporting documents produced by the petitioner.

7.No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if such a direction is issued to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the fifth respondent and to direct the fifth respondent to consider the said representation, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving due consideration to the supporting documents produced by the petitioner.

8.For the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the fifth respondent seeking for taking action against the seventh respondent and for restoration of OSR lands in the subject layout, morefully disclosed in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said representation within the stipulated time, the fifth respondent shall pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of the seventh respondent and any other party whom



the fifth respondent deems it fit to enquire, within a period of 12 weeks thereafter. Consequently, connected WMP is closed. No costs.

WEB COPY

26-03-2026

vga

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.The Assistant Director of Survey,
Kancheepuram District.
- 3.The Sub Registrar,
O/o.The Sub Registrar, Padappai, South
Chennai Registration District, Chennai.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
kundrathur taluk, Kancheepuram
District.
- 5.The Block Development Officer,
padappai, kundrathur, Kancheepuram
District.
- 6.The President,
Varadharajapuram Panchayat,
Kundrathur Taluk, Chennai.



WEB COPY

WP No. 10665 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

**WP No. 10665 of 2026
AND WMP NO. 11571
OF 2026**

26-03-2026