



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 401 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 401 of 2026

S.Vignesh

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Tambaram Commissionerate,
Sholinganallur, Chennai – 600 119.
2. The Inspector of Police (Crime),
Selaiyur Police Station,
Pallikaranai, Chennai - 600 073.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : This writ petition has been filed seeking for issuance of a Mandamus, to direct the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 07.01.2026 within the time frame to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.I.John Arockiadas

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar, Govt.Counsel(CrI.Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction, directing the first respondent to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 07.01.2026, for transfer of investigation from the file of the second respondent to any other investigating agency for proper investigation.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Counsel (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondents and perused the entire materials available on record.

3. The petitioner got married his wife, Revathy and she gave birth to a male child. Thereafter, his wife died due to illness. Immediately after the funeral of his wife, the petitioner's in-laws had taken away the entire jewels, which were purchased by the petitioner and also they took custody of the minor child. Therefore, the petitioner has lodged a complaint and the same got registered in Crime No.281 of 2026 for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS. While pending investigation, the second respondent had taken custody of the minor child and thereafter, handed over to the petitioner's custody. Now the petitioner, taking on the ground that jewels were not recovered from his in-laws. During the investigation, it is revealed that the jewels, which were alleged to have been purchased by the petitioner are



purchased by their daughter and therefore, the petitioner has no right to claim over the jewels. Now, the investigation is pending. Therefore, the petitioner is ready to produce the bills of the jewellery, weighing 70 sovereigns.

4. Therefore, in view of the submissions made by the learned Government Counsel (CrI.Side) for the respondents and also the status report filed by the second respondent, this Court finds no ground to transfer the investigation from the file of the second respondent to any other investigating agency. Therefore, the writ petition cannot be entertained as it is devoid of merits and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

5. However, the second respondent is directed to complete the investigation and file a final report within a period of 8(eight) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. During the investigation, the petitioner is at liberty to produce the bills of the jewellery before the second respondent to prove that the same have been purchased by him. No costs.

DN

04-06-2026



WEB COPY

To

1. The Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Tambaram Commissionerate,
Sholinganallur, Chennai – 600 119.

2. The Inspector of Police (Crime),
Selaiyur Police Station,
Pallikaranai, Chennai - 600 073.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 401 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

DN

WP CrI. No. 401 of 2026

04-06-2026