



WEB COPY

CRP No. 904 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

**CRP No. 904 of 2026 and
CMP No.4862 of 2026**

J.Preethi
D/o. V. Baskaran @ V. Janaskiraman,
No.70, Krishna Street,
Devadas Nagar, Poonamallee,
Chennai 56

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Lakshmi
W/o. Late N. Venkatesan
Aranvoyal Village and Post
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
2. V. Rani, W/o. Govindaswamy
Velanthangal Kandigai village
Ippedu Post, Arakkonam Taluk
Via Sholingur, Vellore District 631 102.
3. V. Latha, W/o. Pandurangan
No.67, Mettu Street
Goodalur Village and Post
Arakkonam Taluk, Vellore District- 632 510.
4. V. Ragini, w/o. R. Suriya
No.3-6-175, Katikarangadi street
Near Chintakayala street
Tirupathi and post
Andra Pradesh 517 501.
5. V. Suseela, W/o. M. Sudhakar
No.42, Market street
Sholingur, Vellore District 631 502.

D.Loganathan (Died),



6. D. Baskaran
S/o. Late. Duraisamy
No.17, way to Anna Nagar Salai
Manavalanagar
Thiruvallur 602 002.
7. R. Chenchu Lakshmi
W/o. Rajendran
Old.No.1/14, New No.1/15
Vinayagar Koil Street
Orathur village
Pagasalai Post 631 210.
8. R. Gayathri
W/o. S.Rajesh
No.5/6, Anna Avenue
Arumbakkam
Chennai 600 106.
9. D. Prabhavathy
S/o.Late N.Duraisamy
No.17, way to Anna Nagar Salai,
Manavalanagar,
Thiruvallur 602 002.
- 10.N. Santhanam
S/o. Late. C.Narasimmalu,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025.
- 11.S. Vijaya
W/o. N.Santhanam,
Aranvoyal village and Post
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025.
- 12.S. Amulu
D/o. N.Santhanam,
W/o. L.Sudhakar,
Vandi Kavanur Village,
Thennakarani Madura,
Choolaimedu Post,
Uthukottai, Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.



13.S. Rathina

D/o. N.Santhanam,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025

14.S. Revathy

D/o. N.Santhanam,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025.

15.S. Bharathi

D/o. N.Santhanam,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025.

16.S. Narasimman

D/o. N.Santhanam,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District 602 025.

17.N. Chelli Babu

S/o. Late C.Narasimmalu Naidu,
No.3, Asai Thambi Street,
Manavalanagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.

18.C. Renuka

W/o. N.Chelli Babu,
No.3, Asai Thambi Street,
Manavalanagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.

19.N.C. Vijaya Raghavan

S/o. N.Chelli Babu,
No.67, P.H.Road, Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District

20.N.C. Hemachandran


S/o. N.Chelli Babu,
No.3, Asai Thambi Street,
Manavalanagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.



- 21.N.C. Vignesh Kumar
S/o. N.Chelli Babu,
No.3, Asai Thambi Street,
Manavalanagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 22.S. Hema Malathi
W/o. Senthil Kumar,
No.1/35, Wallajabad Road,
Vandalur, Chennai 600 048.
- 23.R. Devika
W/o.Senthil Kumar,
No.168A, T.N.H.B. Colony,
Velachery, Chennai 600 042.
- 24.S. Kokila
W/o.Parthasarathy,
No.118, Gandhi Salai,
T.R.Pattanam 609 606.
- 25.S . Bhavani
D/o. N.Chelli Babu,
No. 2/26, Vinayagar koil Street,
Vandalur, Chennai 600 048.
- 26.N. Govindan
S/o.Late.S.Narasimmalu Naidu,
No.1, Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 27.V. Renuka, W/o. N.Govindan,
No.1, Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 28.G. Mohana priya
D/o. N.Govindan,
No.1 Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.



- 29.G. Sathya Priya
D/o. N.Govindan,
No.1, Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 30.G. Gomathi @ Divya Priya
D/o.N.Govindan,
No.1, Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 31.Mionr G. Sindhuja @ Shalini
D/o. N.Govindan,
No.1, Thiruppoor Kumaran Street,
Manavala Nagar,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
(Represented by next friend / natural guardian
mother Tmt. Renuka, the 28th Respondent)
- 32.V. Baskaran
S/o. Late N.Venkatesan,
Aranvoyal village and Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.
- 33.M. Baskaran
S/o. K. Muthu Krishnan,
No.88, K.G.P Nagar,
Gopura Sanallur,
Kattupakkam, Poonamallee.
- 34.J. Vijayaraj Kothari
Old No.28, New 2, Sengunthar street,
1st Main Road, Shenoy Nagar East,
Chennai 600 030.
- 35.Sandeep Kankriya @ Sandeep Kumar
S/o. Late Kanakmal Jain,
Old No.2, New No.11,
4th Cross Street, Shenoy Nagar East,
Chennai 600 030



36.Narendra Kumar Jain
S/o. Late. Sukraj Jain,
No.4, New No.17,
Lawyer Chinna Thambi Street,
Sowcarpet, Chennai 600 079.

37.S. Mukanraj Jain
S/o. Late Solaigmal Jain,
No.152, Main Road, Gopala Sundaram,
Kollidam Post, Seerkazhi Taluk,
Nagapattinam District

38.Kamatchiammal
W/o. N.Santhanam,
No.96A, Gangaiamman Koil Street,
Venmanambuthur village,
Kadambathur Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.

39.S. Santhi
D/o.N.Santhanam,
No.96A, Gangaiamman Koil Street
Venmanambuthur Village,
Kadambathur Post,
Thiruvallur Taluk and District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to set aside the order dated 18.11.2025 passed in IA No.18 of 2025 in OS No.10 of 2014 by 1st Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. N .R.Anantha Rama Krishna

For Respondent(s): Mr.R. Thiagarajan



ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the Trial Court, dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking her impleadment in the suit as party/defendant.

2. The respondents 1 to 5 herein/ plaintiffs filed a suit for partition against other respondents. The petitioner herein, claiming herself as a daughter of one V.Baskaran, who was arrayed as 28th defendant in the suit, filed the instant application seeking her impleadment in the suit as a party/defendant. The Trial Court by impugned order, dismissed the above said application on the ground that the petitioner failed to establish that she is a daughter of 28th defendant. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner has come before this court.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the daughter of 28th defendant, namely V.Baskaran, who is entitled to 1/30 share in the suit property. He would further submit that there was a misunderstanding between the petitioner and her father 28th defendant V.Baskaran, who deserted the petitioner and her mother and therefore, the petitioner shall be impleaded in the suit as a party defendant.

4. It is seen from the typed set of papers that the impleading application was opposed by the respondents on the ground that the petitioner is not the



daughter of V.Baskaran. Though the petitioner filed her Adhar card, Transfer Certificate, Pan Card, Mark sheets, etc., in support of her claim, in all these documents, the name of her father is mentioned as V.Janakiraman, however, the petitioner claims that she is daughter of V.Baskaran, who was arrayed as 28th defendant in the suit. It is the case of the petitioner that V.Baskaran, who was arrayed as 28th defendant and the father of the petitioner V.Janakiraman are one and the same person and to establish the same, she relies on a bail order passed in Crl.O.P.No.4557 of 2007 dated 21.02.2007, wherein, the first petitioner's name is mentioned as V.Baskaran @ V.janakiraman. The Trial Court rejected the petitioner's claim on the ground that merely based on bail order, one cannot come to the definite conclusion that V.Baskaran also known as V.Janakiraman. There is a serious dispute among the parties with regard to the legal status of the petitioner, whether she is daughter of V.Baskaran or not. Further, in the affidavit filed in support of the impleading application, the petitioner has not stated that there was a misunderstanding between her and her alleged father V.Baskaran and he is acting against her interest. In the absence of pleadings that the alleged father of the petitioner is acting against her interest, impleadment of the petitioner as a party defendant in the suit is not required. It is also seen that the suit for partition was of the year 2014 and the trial has come to the fag end and now DW7 is in the witness box. In such circumstances, the Trial Court rightly dismissed the application fled by the petitioner and I do not find any irregularity or illegality in the said order.



5. Accordingly, this civil revision petition is dismissed. The dismissal of the civil revision petition will not come in the way of the petitioner exploring other remedies available under law. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

24-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MST

To

The I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 904 of 2



S.SOUNTHAR, J.

MST

CRP No. 904 of 2026

24-02-2026