



W.P.No.3458 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.02.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.3458 of 2026
and W.M.P.No.3890 of 2026

B.Priyanka
W/o.Pasupathi
3/236, Backside to Putilayiamman Kovil,
Pudhu Colony, Radhapuram Post,
Vikkravandi Taluk,
Viluppuram District-605 501.

Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The District Collector
Office of Collectorate,
Viluppuram.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer
Office of Collectorate,
Viluppuram.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Viluppuram.
- 4.The Tahsildar
Office of the Tahsildar
Vikkravandi Taluk, Viluppuram District.



W.P.No.3458 of 2026

5.The Special Tahsildar
Adi-Dravidar Welfare Department,
Office of the Tahsildar, Viluppuram.

6.K.Dhanasekaran
S/o.Kuppan,
Puthilayiamman Kovil Street,
Pudhu Colony, Radhapuram Post,
Vikkravandi Taluk,
Viluppuram District-605 501

Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to the proceedings of the 4th respondent in R.C. No.A2/1070/2025, dated 14.08.2025, quash the same and consequently direct the official respondents to remove the encroachment and restore the land for public purpose.

For Petitioner: Mr.A.Tamilarasan

For Respondents: Mr.K.Karthik Jagannath
Government Advocate
for R1 to R5

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

According to the petitioner, the land which has been allotted to the private respondent could not have been allotted to him and, therefore, it amounts to encroachment.



W.P.No.3458 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. It cannot be said to be a case of encroachment. If the contentions of the petitioner were to be accepted, perhaps, it could be a case of illegal allotment.

3. The order of the fourth respondent/Tahsildar rejects the contention of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, refers to another memo dated 8.9.2022 of the fifth respondent/Special Tahsildar, which was forwarded to the fourth respondent, but not properly appreciated.

5. In that view of the matter, instead of we going into various aspects of the matter that pertains to allotment of house sites to Adi-Dravidars and scrutinizing the revenue records, it is appropriate to direct the Collector to examine the correctness of the order dated 14.8.2025, which has been passed by the fourth respondent and take appropriate decision after affording an opportunity of hearing to the private respondent.



W.P.No.3458 of 2026

WEB COPY

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, interim application stands closed.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
10.02.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
sasi

To:

- 1.The District Collector
Office of Collectorate,
Viluppuram.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer
Office of Collectorate,
Viluppuram.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Viluppuram.
- 4.The Tahsildar
Office of the Tahsildar
Vikkavandi Taluk, Viluppuram District.
- 5.The Special Tahsildar
Adi-Dravidar Welfare Department,
Office of the Tahsildar, Viluppuram.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.3458 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(sasi)

W.P.No.3458 of 2026

10.02.2026