



WEB COPY

A No. 953 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

A Nos.953 to 957 of 2026

in

C.S.No.183 of 2025

S. Dhilip kumar

S/o.Subarayan, No.49, Balakrishnan 2nd Street,
Nagoorar Garden, New Washermanpet,
Chennai 600 081., Also at A402, Casagrand Aristo,
Noble First Street, Kannan Colony,
Alandur, Chennai 600 016.

..Applicant(s)

Vs

1. Elakkiya

D/o.Rajendran, No.9, 4th Street, Nethaji Nagar
Porur, Chennai 600 116.

2. Google Inc

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View,
California 94043 USA.

..Respondent(s)

A.No.953 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court, read with Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the applicant to amend the cause title and the statement of addresses in the plaint in C.S.No.183 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of the third defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC.

A.No.954 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court, read with Order XIV Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the applicant to amend the cause title of the affidavit and Judge's summons in Appln.No.3932 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of



the second respondent/second defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC.

A.No.955 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court read with Order XVI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the applicant to amend the prayer in A.No.808 of 2025 in the above suit, by replacing the existing prayer by granting a ad-interim temporary injunction restraining the first respondent/first defendant, her agents, servants or persons acting through or under her in whatever capacity or from posting or continuing to make available to viewers or uploading the video postings entitled "ennudaya saavukku Dhilip Subbarayan kaaranam - 6 varudam chithiravadhai and Elakkiya's shocking allegations" on the website www.youtube.com in any link including <https://youtu.be/JYci7gNuNak?si=4b1bOHKIIJO-cFmE> and https://youtu.be/nqXPD2dsn9Q?si=Ou_DKm60K764tpln or anywhere else or any further defamatory material against the plaintiff on any social media platform pending disposal of the above suit, instead of the existing prayer: "to pass an order granting a ad-interim temporary injunction restraining the Respondents/Defendants, their officials, agents, servants or persons acting through or under them in whatever capacity or from posting or continuing to make available to viewers or uploading the video postings entitled "ennudaya saavukku Dhilip Subbarayan kaaranam-6 varudam chithiravadhai" and "Elakkiya's shocking allegations" on the website www.youtube.com in any link including <https://youtu.be/JYci7gNuNak?si=4b1bOHKIIJO-cFmE>, https://youtu.be/nqXPB2dsn9Q?si=Ou_DKm60K764tpln or anywhere else or any further defamatory material against the plaintiff on any social media platform, pending disposal of the suit.

A.No.956 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court read with Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the applicant to amend the description of the second respondent herein/2nd defendant as the second defendant wherever it is



mentioned as the third defendant in the plaint in C.S.No.183 of 2025.

A.No.957 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court, read with Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the petitioner to amend the cause title of the affidavit and Judge's summons in O.A.No.808 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of the second respondent/2nd defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC.

For Applicant(s): M/S.Dr. K. Santhakumari
A.Selvendran
S.Anisha
G.Yuvaraj

COMMON ORDER

Application No.953 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 has been filed to amend the cause title and the statement of addresses in the plaint in C.S.No.183 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of the third defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC. A.No.954 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 has been filed to permit the applicant to amend the cause title of the affidavit and Judge's summons in A.No.3932 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of the second respondent/second defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC. A.No.955 of 2026 has been filed to permit the applicant to amend the prayer in A.No.808 of 2025 in the above suit, by replacing the existing prayer. A.No.956 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court read with Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the applicant to amend the



description of the second respondent herein/2nd defendant as the second defendant wherever it is mentioned as the third defendant in the plaint in C.S.No.183 of 2025. A.No.957 of 2026 in C.S.No.183 of 2025 is filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules of this Court, read with Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, praying to permit the petitioner to amend the cause title of the affidavit and Judge's summons in O.A.No.808 of 2025 by replacing the existing name of the second respondent/2nd defendant as Google Inc. by replacing the same by the name Google LLC.

2. According to the applicants, the second defendant was deleted as per the order of this Court, thereby the application in 956 of 2026 is filed for amendment and the amendments are only consequential amendments. The application in 953 of 2026, 954 of 2026 and 957 of 2026 are in respect of the name of the second defendant Google LLC. The suit is filed as against all the defendants herein and the plaintiff is only confining the injunction application as against the first defendant alone, thereby filing application in 955 of 2026 to amend the prayer in A.No.808 of 2026.

3. So far, no notice has been served on the first defendant in these applications and the plaintiff has already taken paper publication, which was also verified and the first defendant was set ex-parte in the main suit. Therefore, this Court is inclined to pass orders even without issuing notice to the first defendant. The other defendants have no serious objection.

4. Further, already, this Court allowed the applicant to strike-off the



second defendant and the third defendant filed a Memo that the name of the third defendant is Google LLC. The above prayers are only consequential amendment. Therefore, considering the said reasons, facts and circumstances of the case and to avoid multiplicity of proceedings and in the interest of justice, it is appropriate to allow all the applications. Accordingly, all the applications are allowed.

24-02-2026

cs



WEB COPY

A No. 953 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J

CS

**A Nos.953 to 957 of 2026
in
C.S.No.183 of 2025**

24-02-2026