



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 470 of 2026

A.Karthik

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Chairman,
District Police Complaints Authority,
Avadi Police Commissionerate,
Avadi, Chennai – 54.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Avadi City Police Commissionerate,
Avadi, Chennai - 600 054.
3. Mr.Chandra Mohan
4. Mr.Sachidanandam
5. Mr.Santhakumar (TNEB)
6. Mr.Dinakaran (TNEB)
7. Mr.Chinnadurai
8. Mr.D.Suresh
9. Mr.Prakash
- 10.Mr.Gunasekaran
- 11.Mrs.Yesumathi
- 12.Mrs.Gomathy

..Respondent(s)



Prayer: This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd Respondent (The Commissioner of Police, Avadi) to immediately Register an F.I.R. against the Respondents 4 to 12 based on the Petitioner's written complaint dated 29.12.2025 for the cognizable offences of Attempt to Murder, Extortion, and Social Boycott, and to transfer the investigation of the said case to the another Officer or a competent officer with in the Avadi Police Jurisdiction to ensure a fair and impartial investigation free from the influence of the 3rd Respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Sathyanarayanan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side) for R1 and R2

Ms.Shaikh Mehrunnisa Kasim
for RR4 to 12

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed for a direction directing the second respondent to register an FIR as against the respondents 4 to 12 for disobeying the order passed by this Court on 15.12.2025 in Cont.P.No.3856 of 2025 in W.P.No.12034 of 2025.

2.This Court vide order dated 03.04.2025 in W.P.No.12034 of 2025, passed the following order:



WEB COPY

“6(i) The idol shall be handed over back to the petitioner and the petitioner will be entitled to do or worship in his own premises needless to mention that the petitioner cannot disturb the other peoples in the locality by installing any loudspeaker or causing any noise pollution or any other disturbance whatsoever. He shall worship the deities and cannot conduct any programmes involving collection of money etc., from the people of the locality.”

3.However, it was not complied with and hence, the petitioner was constrained to file a contempt petition in Cont.P.No.3856 of 2025. The said contempt petition was closed vide the order of this Court dated 15.12.2025, with the following observation;

“6.After hearing all the learned counsel, since the idol belongs to the petitioner, in the morning, since the Tahsildar and the petitioner both were present, this Court directed the petitioner to visit the Tahsildar Office and collect the idols. Accordingly, it is now represented that the idols have been handed over to the petitioner and therefore, the Court direction that is issued in paragraph no.6 stands complied with.

7.With reference to the other apprehensions that raised on either side, firstly, if there is any construction that is made without permission of the local authority, it is for the said authority to issue such notice to the petitioner in accordance with law, and petitioner will be entitled to raise such defenses as may be permissible under law. Any worship by the petitioner cannot be in the manner so as to disturb the local public, there cannot be usage of any loudspeaker



WEB COPY

or causing any kind of noise pollution or disturbance to the vicinity. If such an act is done so as to disturb the local public, upon receipt of the complaint, the local police are entitled to inspect, and the appropriate authorities entitled under the law, can take such action. Needless to mention that if any 'Hundi' is kept, it will entitle the authorities of the HR and CE Department to forthwith inspect the temple and take action in the manner known to law. However, if a person wants to keep any idol in his own premises and worship peacefully by himself or by inviting willing friends or neighbours, the public cannot take law into their own hands by the majority might. The State Authorities cannot give in to such superstitions and false beliefs. God or an idol will never harm any human being, and such beliefs are only superstitions and cannot be said to be in tune with the principles of 'Bhakthi' or 'Science'.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that though this Court passed an order to handover the idol to the petitioner, the petitioner was not allowed to bring the idol to his house as directed by this Court. Therefore, the petitioner lodged a complaint before the second respondent and sought to register an FIR as against the respondents 4 to 12 herein. Therefore, the main contention of the petitioner is that the order passed by this Court has not been complied with, for which, the petitioner ought to have approached this Court to reopen the Contempt Petition, which was already closed by this Court.



WEB COPY

5. In view of the above, the directions sought for in this Writ Petition (Criminal) cannot be granted and therefore, it is dismissed. No costs.

10-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
TSG

To

1. The Chairman,
District Police Complaints Authority,
Avadi Police Commissionerate,
Avadi, Chennai – 54.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Avadi City Police Commissionerate,
Avadi, Chennai - 600 054.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 470 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

TSG

WP CrI. No. 470 of 2026

10-06-2026