



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

WEB COPY IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.06.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P. VELMURUGAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

and

C.M.P.No.10467 of 2022

O.S.A.No.76 of 2021

1. Sri Prasanna Venkata Narasimha Perumal Temple,
Represented by its Managing Trustee S.Manohar Chettiar,
Perumal Kovil Street, Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015.

2. S.Manohar Chettiar

3. A. Madhanagopal Chettiar

4. D.Rajasekaran Chettiar

5. K.R.Prabhakar Chettiar

.... Appellants

Vs

1. K.J.Renuka

2. M.Srinivasan

3. E.P.Parthasarathy



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

WEB COPY

4. The State of Tamil Nadu

Rep.by its Principal Secretary

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department

St.George Fort, Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

5. The Assistant Commissioner

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department

Chennai – 34.

6. The Joint Commissioner,

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department

Chennai – 34.

.... Respondents

[R4 to R6 *suo motu* impleaded vide Court order
dated 18.02.2021]

Prayer : Original Side Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 9 of Madras High Court Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 05.11.2020 passed in A.No.2368 of 2020 in C.S.No.358 of 2020 by the learned Single Judge of this Court.

For Appellants

: Mr.L.Damodharan

for A1 to A4

Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar

for A5

For Respondents

: Mr.Vineet Subramani for R1

No appearance for R2

Mr.M.S.Seshadri for R3

Mr.Baranidharan for R4 to R6



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

WEB COPY

O.S.A.No.77 of 2021

1. Sri Prasanna Venkata Narasimha Perumal Temple,
Represented by its Managing Trustee S.Manohar Chettiar,
Perumal Kovil Street, Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015.

2. A. Madhanagopal Chettiar
3. D.Rajasekaran Chettiar
4. K.R.Prabhakar Chettiar

.... Appellants

Vs

1. E.P.Parthasarathy

2. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep.by its Principal Secretary
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department
St.George Fort, Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

3. The Assistant Commissioner
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department
Chennai – 34.

4. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department
Chennai – 34.

.... Respondents

[R2 to R4 *suo motu* impleaded as party respondents
vide Court order dated 18.02.2021]



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

Prayer : Original Side Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 9 of Madras High Court Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 05.11.2020 passed in A.No.2537 of 2020 in C.S.D.No.66974 of 2020 by the learned Single Judge of this Court.

For Appellants : Mr.L.Damodharan
for A1 to A3
Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar
for A4

For Respondents : Mr.M.S.Seshadri for R1
Mr.R.Bharanidharan for R2 to R4

O.S.A.No.298 of 2021

1. Dr.K.J.Renuka
2. M.Srinivasan ... Appellants

Vs.

1. Sri Prasanna Venkata Narasimha Perumal Temple,
Represented by its Managing Trustee S.Manohar Chettiar,
Perumal Kovil Street, Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015.

2. S.Manohar Chettiar
3. A. Madhanagopal Chettiar
4. D.Rajasekaran Chettiar
5. K.R.Prabhakar Chettiar
6. E.P.Parthasarathy ... Respondents



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

WEB COPY

Prayer : Original Side Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 1 of Madras High Court Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 26.08.2021 passed in A.No.3005 of 2020 in C.S.No.358 of 2020.

For Appellants	: Mr.Vineet Subramani
For Respondents	: Mr.L.Damodharan
	for R1 to R4
	Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar for R5
	Mr.S.Senthinathan for R6

O.S.A.No.307 of 2021

1. Dr.K.J.Renuka
2. M.Srinivasan

... Appellants

Vs.

1. Sri Prasanna Venkata Narasimha Perumal Temple,
Represented by its Managing Trustee S.Manohar Chettiar,
Perumal Kovil Street, Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015.
2. S.Manohar Chettiar
3. A. Madhanagopal Chettiar
4. D.Rajasekaran Chettiar
5. K.R.Prabhakar Chettiar
6. E.P.Parthasarathy
7. N.Balaji

... Respondents

[R7 impleaded as respondent vide Court order dated 22.06.2026 made in C.M.P.No.10379/2022 in O.S.A.No.307 of 2021]



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

WEB COPY

Prayer : Original Side Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 1 of Madras High Court Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 26.08.2021 passed in A.No.3006 of 2020 in C.S.No.358 of 2020.

For Appellants : Mr.Vineet Subramani

For Respondents : Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar
for R1 to R3
Mr.L.Damodharan for R4 and R5
Mr.S.Senthinathan for R6
Ms.B.Jayanthi for R7

O.S.A.No.322 of 2021

1. Dr.K.J.Renuka

2. M.Srinivasan

... Appellants

Vs.

1. Sri Prasanna Venkata Narasimha Perumal Temple,
Represented by its Managing Trustee S.Manohar Chettiar,
Perumal Kovil Street, Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015.

2. S.Manohar Chettiar

3. A. Madhanagopal Chettiar

4. D.Rajasekaran Chettiar



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

5. K.R.Prabhakar Chettiar

6. E.P.Parthasarathy

... Respondents

Prayer : Original Side Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 1 of Madras High Court Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 22.07.2021 passed in A.No.3006 of 2020 in C.S.No.358 of 2020.

For Appellants : Mr.Vineet Subramani

For Respondents : Mr.L.Damodharan

for R2 to R4

Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar for R5

Mr.M.S.Seshadri for R6

COMMON JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was made by P.Velmurugan, J.)

Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on either side submitted that the relief sought for in these appeals has become infructuous, and therefore, the appeals may be closed.

2. Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, these appeals are disposed of as infructuous. However, it is made clear that the parties are at liberty to raise all their respective contentions in the pending suit



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

in C.S.No.358 of 2020. Since the property in question is a temple property and the dispute relates to the framing of a scheme, the learned Single Judge is requested to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one year. The parties shall extend their full cooperation for the early disposal of the suit.

3. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.


(P.V.,J.) (K.G.T.,J.)
29.06.2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ms



WEB COPY



O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021

**P.VELMURUGAN, J.
AND
K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.**

ms

**O.S.A.Nos.76, 77, 298, 307 and 322 of 2021
and
C.M.P.No.10467 of 2022**

29.06.2026