

Rajender Kumar & Ors. Vs. State & Ors.

CWP No. 18520/2025

15.06.2026 Present: Mr. Daleep Singh Kaith, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Y.P.S Dhaulta, Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocates General with Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General and Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents.

CMP No. 13797/2025

Petitioners/Applicants seek modification in Rajender Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors (CWP No. 18520/2025) decided on 08.12.2025.

Para-2 of the aforesaid decision records that petitioners were seeking applicability of Mohit Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors. (CWP No. 1638/2024) decided on 29.11.2024.

Learned counsel for the applicants (writ petitioners) submits that in addition to Mohit Sharma (CWP No. 1638/2024), the petitioners had also sought applicability of Pushap Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors. (CWP No. 8839/2023) decided on 07.11.2024.

2. There is no necessity to modify/review Rajender Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors. on the aforesaid ground as the said petition was disposed of with following operative directions: -

“4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring their respective representations within two weeks from today. In case, such representations are so preferred,

the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of six weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.”

In terms of above directions, the petitioners had been granted liberty to prefer their respective representations before the competent authority, and the competent authority was directed to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within a time-bound schedule.

It is for the petitioners to seek the benefit of the precedents upon which they may rely while seeking relief in their respective representations. Accordingly, the application to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

15th June, 2026 (Rohit)