

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 15285/2026
Decided on: 14.09.2026**

Nidhi & Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioners:

Mr. Prantap Sharma, Advocates.

For the respondents:

**Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners seek direction to the respondents to include their name in office order dated 24.07.2026 (Annexure P-6) by granting them the benefit of retrospective regularization. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have already preferred a representation in this regard to the respondents on 25.07.2026 (Annexure P-7). Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule.

Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners dated 25.07.2026 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

14th September, 2026_(rohit)