

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 15616/2026
Decided on: 10.09.2026**

Sunita Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Hitesh Bali, Advocate.

**For the respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J.

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation at Annexure P-3, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***² has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law,

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

²CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided on 07.04.2025

within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

10th September, 2026_(rohit)