

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**COPC No.1342 of 2025****Reserved on : 07.09.2026****Date of Decision: 17.09.2026****Date of uploading on Website: 17.09.2026**

Anjana Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Ashish Singhmar and others

...Respondents

*Coram***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge**Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Ms.Raksha Thakur, Advocate, vice
Mr.Jagdish Thakur, Advocate.For the respondents : Mr. B.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate
General.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge

The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, with a prayer to punish the respondents for willful violation of the judgment dated 30.07.2024, passed by this Court in CWPOA No.7511 of 2020, titled as *Anjana Devi Chand Versus State of HP & Others*.

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. The aforesaid writ petition was allowed by a Division Bench of this Court by granting the following relief:-

“16. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances and also considering the claim of petitioner for similar treatment like Bimla Devi, respondents-State is directed to give daily wager status to petitioner from the date when she completed 10 years of continuous service as Part-Time Worker i.e. from 01.03.2010 instead of 08.08.2012 and also to consider her for regularization from the date of completion of 8 years continuous service with 240 days in each calendar year and in case, post is not available, then to confer work charge status on completion of 8 years of service with all consequential benefits. It is further clarified that in case Bimla Devi has been regularized after completion of 7 years daily waged service, then petitioner shall also be given similar treatment.”

3. Alongwith the petition, copy of Office Order dated 06.10.2025, has also been annexed, according to which, the Department concerned has given the daily wager status to petitioner-Anjana Devi on 29.02.2010 and work charge status on 29.02.2018.

4. According to the petitioner, the judgment under reference has not been complied with, as after the lapse of more than 10 months, the monetary benefits have not been given to her.

5. The relief to the petitioner, in the present case, has been given on the basis of the decision rendered by this Court in **CWP No. 2806 of 2014**, titled as **Bimla Devi Vs. State of H.P.**

6. When put to notice, the respondents have filed reply, wherein it has been stated that in compliance to the aforesaid directions, petitioner-Anjana Devi has already been conferred work-charge status w.e.f. 29.02.2018, i.e. from the date of completion of 8 years of Daily Wager service, vide office order dated 06.10.2025 and her pay has also been fixed accordingly, vide office order dated 13.11.2025. Hence, the judgment under reference stands fully complied with.

7. In view of the above discussion, since judgment dated 30.07.2024 has been fully complied with, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and, accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of. Notice discharged.

8. However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy, in accordance with law, for redressal of her surviving grievances, if any and if so advised.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any,
shall also stand disposed of.

September 17, 2026
(V. Himalvi)

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge