

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Review Petition No.107 of 2026

Decided on: 09.10.2026

Kaila Devi	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Himachal Pradesh and others	... Respondents

Coram

Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:	Mr. Daleep Singh Kaith, Advocate.
For the respondents:	Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General.

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge (Oral)

CMP(M) No.1465 of 2026

Despite opportunity granted to the non-applicants to file a reply to the application, no reply has been filed. The right of the non-applicants to file a reply to the application stands closed.

2. Having heard learned Counsel for the parties, this application is allowed by condoning the delay in filing the review petition. The application stands disposed of.

Review Petition No.107 of 2026

3. By way of this review petition, the petitioner is seeking the review of the judgment passed by this Court, in CWP No.10532/2026, titled Kaila Devi Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others, decided on 30.06.2026, in terms whereof, the writ petition was disposed of by this Court in the following terms:-

“In light of the fact that the issue raised by the petitioner

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

in this petition is pending adjudication before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, as agreed, this writ petition is disposed of with the direction that the parties shall abide by the final judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Satya Devi's case."

4. Mr. Dilip Singh Kaith, learned Counsel appearing for the review petitioner, submits that in light of the fact that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Satya Devi's case has been pleased to dispose of the said petition by observing that the judgment in Satya Devi's case shall not be treated as a precedent, it would be in the interest of justice in case the order is reviewed.

5. As this factual position could not be disputed by the learned Additional Advocate General, therefore, this review petition is allowed. as prayed for and the order dated 30.06.2026, is hereby ordered to be reviewed. The Registry is directed to restore the writ petition to its original number. The review petition stands disposed of.

CWP No.10532 of 2026

6. With the consent of the parties, this writ was taken up for consideration today itself. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Satya Devi's case, in terms whereof, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been pleased to observe that the judgment in Satya Devi's case shall not be treated as a precedent and as the foundation of the writ was the judgment in Satya Devi's case, the petitioner be allowed to

withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the Court afresh on the same cause by assailing the Notification issued by the Government in this regard.

7. The petition is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. The petition stands disposed of, so also pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

October 09, 2026
(Rishi)