

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No.17398 of 2026 alongwith  
connected matters**

**Decided on: 06.10. 2026**

---

**1. CWP No.17398 of 2026**

Dila Ram

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others

**.....Respondents**

---

**2. CWP No.17399 of 2026**

Daulat Ram

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others

**.....Respondents**

---

**3. CWP No.17400 of 2026**

Jagdish Chand

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others

**.....Respondents**

---

**4. CWP No.17401 of 2026**

Shiv Dutt

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others

**.....Respondents**

---

**5. CWP No.17454 of 2026**

Devki Nandan

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents****6. CWP No.17455 of 2026**

Devi Ram

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents****7. CWP No.17456 of 2026**

Subhash Chandra

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents****8. CWP No.17457 of 2026**

Roop Lal

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents****9. CWP No.17458 of 2026**

Karam Singh

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents**

**10. CWP No.17459 of 2026**

Parkash Chand

**.....Petitioner****Versus**Himachal Road Transport Corporation  
and others**.....Respondents****Coram****Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>**For the Petitioners: Mr. Loveneesh S Thakur, Advocate, in  
all other matters.For the Respondents: M/s Raman Jamalta, Deepak Sharma,  
Abhishek Thakur, Rahul Thakur, Rahul  
Gathania and Shrutika Chauhan,  
Advocates, in the respective matters.**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Learned counsel for the respondent-Himachal Road Transport Corporation has placed on record office instructions dated 29.09.2026 (which is taken on record in CWP No. 17398 of 2026). These instructions, *inter alia*, convey that Board of Directors of respondent-Corporation, in its meeting convened on 19.06.2023, vide Item No.153.07, had approved revision of pension/family pension of pre-2016 retirees at 50% and 30%, respectively, of the levels of Pay Matrix; The Board had specifically decided that benefit of revision of pension/family pension would be made effective for actual payment from July, 2023

---

<sup>1</sup>Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

onwards; Fixation/revision was to be made notionally w.e.f. 01.01.2016, whereas actual monetary benefits were made admissible from July 2023, onwards.

**2.** Office instructions are also to the effect that the respondent-Corporation has accordingly implemented office letter dated 22.07.2023 (Annexure P-1) inasmuch as revised pensionary benefits have already been released to the eligible pensioners on actual basis w.e.f. July, 2023 onwards.

**3.** As per the office instructions, regarding petitioners' claim for arrears for the period 01.01.2016 to 30.06.2023, no decision as yet has been taken by the Board of Directors of respondent-Corporation for release/payment of such arrears, even though notional fixation of pension has been carried out w.e.f. 01.01.2016 for releasing the admissible arrears to the eligible pensioners including the petitioners from July, 2023 onwards.

In view of above, these writ petitions seeking implementation of Annexure P-1, office letter dated 22.07.2023, are disposed of with liberty reserved to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy for the redressal of their surviving grievances for claiming balance arrears of pension w.e.f. 01.01.2016 to 30.06.2023 in accordance with

law. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

October 6, 2026  
*R.Atal*

Jyotsna Rewal Dua  
Judge