

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Cr.MMO No. 916 of 2026

Decided on: 31.08.2026

Yog Raj petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Aashish Kumar, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for respondent No.1.

: Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate, for respondent/complainant No.2.

: H.C. Jaswant No. 230, Police Station Rampur, District Shimla, H.P., present in person.

Bipin Chander Negi, Judge (oral)

By way of instant petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), a prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No. 0100 of 2025 dated 11.11.2025, under Sections 125(a), 125(b) and 281 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Rampur Bushahr, District Shimla, H.P., as well as consequential judicial proceedings arising out of the same.

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. The averments contained in the petition, which are duly supported by an affidavit, reveal that on 11.11.2025, complainant/respondent No. 2 had got a FIR registered against the petitioner under Sections 125(a), 125(b) and 281 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. However, during the pendency of the proceedings, the dispute inter se the parties has been settled amicably vide compromise dated 11.08.2026, a copy whereof is appended along with the present petition as Exhibit P-2.

3. In the petition, it has been asserted that, post conclusion of the investigation, a chargesheet for commission of offences punishable under Sections 125(a), 125(b) and 281 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 had been presented before the Court of competent jurisdiction. Trial in the case is stated to be pending adjudication.

4. It is further asserted in the petition that during the pendency of the criminal trial, a compromise had been entered into inter se the petitioner/accused and the respondent No.2/complainant as the parties are stated to be enjoying a cordial relationship which they wish to maintain in the future

5. The statements of the complainant and the accused have been recorded today, i.e. 31.08.2026, to the effect that the compromise has been entered into by the respective parties

voluntarily and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. The status report filed by the police has been placed on record, wherein the contents of the FIR and the steps taken during the investigation have been recorded. Besides the same, a mention of the compromise inter se the parties has also been made therein.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. FIRs registered for commission of offences punishable under Sections 279, 336 and 337 of the IPC (now Sections 281, 125(a) and 125(b) of the BNS) have already been quashed in ***Sushant vs. State of H.P., 2023 HLC 531; Vikas Huda vs. State of H.P., 2023 STPL 3009; Kulwidner Singh vs. Ankush Kumar, 2023 HLR 384; and Nishant vs. State, 2022 Suppl. Law Cases 45 & others***, based on a compromise entered inter se the accused & the complainant. These judgments are binding on this Court.

8. Insofar as Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act is concerned, FIRs registered for commission of offences under Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act have been quashed in ***Rajender Thakur versus State of H.P., 2022 STPL 10700 HP; Harish Sharma versus State of H.P., 2022 STPL 10696 HP; Suresh Kumar versus State of H.P., 2019 STPL 1580 HP; and Suresh Kumar versus State of H.P., 2019 STPL 4144 HP,***

based on a compromise. These judgments are binding on this Court.

9. This Court sees no impediment in quashing the FIR in issue, as the dispute inter se the parties stands amicably resolved.

10. Consequently, the present petition is allowed, and FIR No. 0100 of 2025 dated 11.11.2025, under Sections 125(a), 125(b) and 281 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 185 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Rampur Bushahr, District Shimla, H.P., is quashed as well as consequential proceedings arising out of the same, are quashed.

11. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending application(s), if any.

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge

31st August, 2026
tarun