

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 15879/2026
Decided on: 14.09.2026

Rampyari Sharma Petitioners
Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Ram Kumar, Advocate.

For the respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation dated 16.06.2026 (Annexure P-4), seeking applicability of ***Surya Prabha & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. and Ors***². and ***Ranjit Singh & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***³ has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No. 5390/2024 decided on 28.05.2025

³ CWP No. 2500/2021 decided on 07.07.2023

authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation, in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgments, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

14th September, 2026
rohit