

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**COPC No.1050 of 2026****Date of Decision: 07.08.2026**

Heritage Satikva Resorts

....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Agrawal and others

...Respondents

*Coram***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge**Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Amrit, Advocate, vice Mr. Sanjeev Sood, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India, with Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Balvinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.3 & 4.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (Oral)

Notice. Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate and Mr. Balvinder Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, accept notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

2. Learned Deputy Solicitor General of India has placed on record copy of Office Memorandum dated

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

16.07.2026, alongwith minutes of the 22nd Empowered Committee Meeting, wherein it has been stated as under:-

“In view of the above, the Committee observed that, having regard to the mandatory eligibility requirements stipulated under Clause 7.3 of the Scheme Notification, as reproduced in Part C of these Minutes, the unit was, not found to be eligible. Moreover, in the absence of any budgetary allocation under the Scheme, the application cannot be granted registration.”

3. Therefore, in this view of the matter, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and, accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of. Notice discharged.

4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy, as available to it under the law.

The petition is disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

August 07, 2026
(V. Himalvi)

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge