IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 14211 of 2026
Decided on 20th August, 2026

Sh. Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and another

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge

[1]Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioners: **Mr. Dibender Ghosh, Advocate.**

For the respondents: **Mr.Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General.**

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge *(Oral)*

Notice. Mr. Pushpender Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General, accept notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has, inter alia, prayed for the following reliefs:-

> ***"i)That the present Civil Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and this Hon'ble Court may kindly issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioner all the actual consequential & financial benefits attached to the post of Principal (School Cadre) Class-1 (Gazetted) including arrear of pay and allowances w.e.f. the date of joining as Principal (School Cadre) Class-I (Gazetted) on placement basis with the respondent department and further the respondents may be directed to pay an interest on the said arrears at the rate of 9% per annum in the interest of law and justice.***
>
> ***ii) That the respondents may kindly be directed to grant the petitioner actual consequential and financial benefits including arrears of pay & allowances at par with the similarly situated employees who were granted the same***

> ***benefits vide notification dated 17.12.2018, for the period the petitioners have worked and served Principal (School Cadre) Class-1 (Gazetted) along with pensionary entitlements in the interest of justice and fair play."***

3. The Court stands informed that the issue raised by the petitioner herein, is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 9321 of 2025, titled **Vijay Parmar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P.**, decided on 06.04.2026.

4. This could not be disputed on behalf of the respondents-Department, though learned Additional Advocate General submitted that any order passed in these petitions shall be subject to the right of the State to assail the judgment passed by this Court in Vijay Parmar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P (supra). Ordered accordingly.

5. This petition is thus disposed of with the observation that the directions passed by this Court in Vijay Parmar & Ors. Vs.State of H.P. (supra), shall mutatis mutandis be read to have been passed in this petition also. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

August 20, 2026
(Guleria)