

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.653 of 2026

Decided on: 06th July, 2026

Vijay Kumar

....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Raham Tullah, Advocate, vice Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional Advocate General.

Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge *(Oral)*

Learned Additional Advocate General has produced a communication dated 04.07.2026 issued by Director of School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171001 and copy of office order dated 06.04.2026 passed by the Deputy Director of School Education, (Elementary) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (H.P), which are taken on record.

2. A perusal of office order dated 06.04.2026 reveals that the petitioner has been allowed higher pay stage in the concerned level of pay matrix i.e. Rs.40,100/- after completion

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

of two years of regular service, subject to final outcome of LPA filed by the State in ***Mohit Sharma's*** case. The DDO concerned has been directed to fix the pay of the petitioner and release the monetary benefits.

3. Since the mandate contained in the judgment dated 06.11.2025, passed in **CWP No.17217 of 2025**, titled, ***Vijay Kumar vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.***, has been complied with, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present execution petition and the same is accordingly disposed of, subject to final outcome of LPA filed by the State in ***Mohit Sharma's*** case. However, it is made clear that in case the consequential benefits are not released in favour of the petitioner in terms of the directions given by the Court in the judgment, sought to be executed, within a period of 8 weeks from today, he shall be at liberty to file an application for revival of the present execution petition.

06th July, 2026
(ankit)

(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge