

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA
CWP Nos. 2079/2015 & 5246/2014
Decided on: 22.06.2026

CWP No. 2079/2015

Sarita Devi Petitioner
Versus

State of H. P. & Ors. Respondents

CWP No. 5246/2014

Kultar Singh Petitioner
Versus

State of H. P. & Ors. Respondents

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Rupesh Thakur and Mr. Naresh Verma, Advocates, in the respective petitions.

For the respondents: Mr. Naresh Verma, Advocate for respondent No.3 in CWP No. 2079/2015.

Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General, in both the petitions.

Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.6 to 9 in CWP No. 5246/2014.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in CWP No. 2079/2015 states that with the efflux of time, the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous. His statement is taken note of.

¹ *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

In view of above submissions, the writ petitions are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

22nd June, 2026 (rohit)