



CNR: KAHC010765462025

NC: 2026:KHC:48973
WP No. 35743 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 09TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.35743 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. SHANKARAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
S/O LATE SIDDAPPA,
R/AT NO. 14, 2ND CROSS,
KAVERINAGAR,
LAKSHMIDEVINAGAR,
BENGALURU NORTH - 560058.
2. M.C. BYRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
S/O LATE CHANNAGANGAIAH,
R/AT MARIKUPPE VILLAGE AND POST,
SOLUR HOBLI, MAGADI TALUK,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT-562127.

Digitally signed by
CHANNAS A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. S. RAJ PRABHU, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S. BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.



NC: 2026:KHC:48973
WP No. 35743 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010765462025

2. ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
MAGADI, BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT,
KARNATAKA- 562120.
3. MR. M.G. CHANDRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
S/O LATE GANGANNA,
R/AT MARIKUPPE VILLAGE AND POST,
SOLUR HOBLI, MAGADI TALUK,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT-562127.
4. THE THASILDAR
MAGADI TALUK
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT -562 120.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ADITYA DIWAKARA, AGA FOR R1, R2 & R4;
SMT. K.R. REKHA, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO
DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS NOT TO MAKE OR EFFECT ANY
CHANGES/ENTRIES/MUTATION IN THE REVENUE RECORDS
PERTAINING TO THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY WITHOUT FIRST
CONSIDERING THE OBJECTIONS FILED BY THE PETITIONER
AND GIVING A FULL OPPORTUNITY OF HEARING; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CNR: KAHC010765462025

NC: 2026:KHC:48973
WP No. 35743 of 2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. In this Writ Petition, petitioners, vide representation dated 31.10.2025, have sought for a writ of mandamus to the respondents not to conduct phodi in respect of the land bearing Sy.No.64/1 situated at Marikuppe Village, Soluru Hobli of Magadi Taluk.
2. Taking into consideration that this Court, while exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, cannot direct respondent - authorities not to do a public duty, I am of the view that, the Writ Petition does not survive for consideration.
3. The Writ Petition is dismissed.

**Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

sac
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 2