



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 15650 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

WASEEM @ SYED WASEEM @ WASEEM
DRIVER WASEEM,
S/O RIZWAN,
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS,
R/AT NO.153, 2ND CROSS,
MUBARAK ROAD, NEAR TAMARIND TREE,
M.S. PALYA, VIDYARANYAPURA,
BENGALURU-560097

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI RAJAVARDHANA REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI RANGANATH REDDY R.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

STATE BY VIDYARANYAPURA POLICE,
THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER
BENGALURU
REPRESENTED BY HCGP
(HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA)
PIN CODE-560001

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI RANGASWAMY R, HCGP)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.439 (FILED U/S.483 BNSS)
CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING
THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO
ENLARGE THE PETITIONER ON BAIL IN CRIME NO.209/2025
DATED 25.06.2025 REGISTERED AT VIDYARANAYAPURA
POLICE STATION, BENGLAURU DISTRICT AND NOW CHARGE
SHEET NO.C.C.NO.36360/2025 PENDING BEFORE THE VII

Digitally signed
by BELUR
RANGADHAMA
NANDINI

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



ADDL CJM COURT, BENGALURU FOR OFFENCE
P/U/S.310(2),61(2) OF BNS 2023 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarika Suraksha Samitha (BNSS). The offence alleged
against the petitioner is under Sections 310(2), 61(2) of
BNSS.

2. The petitioner is accused No.13. The Petition
filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail is rejected by
the learned Sessions Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2025 and it is
submitted that the charge sheet is already filed and
custodial interrogation is not required.

4. The evidence relating to the alleged offence is
already collected by the prosecution. In addition, learned
counsel for the petitioner would urge that the petitioner



was not convicted for any offence in the past and there was no criminal case filed against the petitioner. This is the first criminal case against the petitioner is the submission.

5. It is further submitted that the complainant himself is arrayed as accused No.10 after the investigation. In addition, he would also submit that accused No.1 and 12 are released on bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Criminal Petition No.3540/2026 and 5164/2026.

6. Learned HCGP would oppose the application on the premise that part of the money referred to in the complaint is recovered from the petitioner and also the weapon used for commission of offence is recovered from the petitioner and this being the position, the petitioner is not entitled to bail. It is urged that in the event of his release, the petitioner is likely to threaten the witnesses and interfere in the trial.



7. The Court has considered the contentions raised at the Bar and perused the records.

8. The complaint is filed on the allegation that the petitioner and other accused conspired to loot Rs.2 crores from CW2 and CW3. It is stated that amount was with CW1. It is also alleged that all the accused hatched conspiracy to loot the amount and in the process the petitioner and other accused with the weapons threatened CW1 and CW2 while taking away Rs.2.00 crores cash which was alleged to be with CW1 which allegedly belonged to CW3 and CW4.

9. It is also noticed that the maximum punishment that can be awarded for the offence in case the offence is established is up to 10 years. It is also noticed that there is no allegation of any grievous injuries being caused.

10. The Court has also considered the fact that the bail is granted to accused No.1 and accused No.12. It is



also noticed that the charge sheet is already filed and prosecution claims to have collected the evidence.

11. Though the learned HCGP would oppose the petition on the ground that the contention based on parity is not available on the premise that allegations against the petitioner and accused No.1 and 12 are different, by taking into consideration the overall materials placed on record, the Court is of the view that the petitioner is entitled to bail, on conditions.

12. Hence the following:

ORDER

- (i) The petition is ***allowed***.
- (ii) The petitioners shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- with the two sureties for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not interfere with the course of the trial and shall keep himself



present before the Court as and when his presence is required.

- (iv) The petitioner shall not Shall not leave the country without the permission of the Court.
- (v) The petitioner shall not indulge in any offence.

Sd/-

(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE

BRN
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1