



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 1620 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

SMT. HEMAKSHI,
W/O SWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/AT BHUVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. PAVAN T.G., ADVOCATE)

AND:

N. RADHA,
W/O DODDAHONNAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/AT NO.46, 3RD A CROSS,
CHIKKA BOMMASANDRA,
GKVK POST,
BENGALURU - 560 064.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.S.NAVEEN KUMAR., ADVOCATE)

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 CR.PC (FILED
U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO ALLOW THIS RP BY
SETTING ASIDE THE JUDGMENT CRL.A.NO.790/2022 DATED

Digitally signed
by DEVIKA M
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



01.10.2024 PASSED BY LXIX ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT BENGALURU THEREBY CONFIRMING THE ORDER DATED 06.06.2022 PASSED BY THE XVIII ADDL.C.M.M BENGALURU IN C.C.NO.24940/2018 CONVICTING THE PETITIONER FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 138 OF N.I ACT BY ACQUITTING THE ACCUSED.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

ORAL ORDER

This Court vide order dated 11.07.2025, suspended the sentence, directing the petitioner to pay 50% of the fine amount including the amount already deposited, within four weeks from the said order, and also directed to execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/-. Both the conditions have not been complied with.

2. Even though the matter was sent to the Mediation and the same was not settled. Again the learned counsel for the petitioner made a submission on 22.06.2026 that demand draft would be furnished by making full payment by way of settlement. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



would submit that, he could not contact the petitioner when the order was passed in the year 2025 itself. Even granting sufficient time, there is no compliance of the order passed by this Court and even after providing an opportunity the petitioner is not pursuing the matter diligently.

Hence, the revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution and the same is not admitted.

Sd/-
(H.P.SANDESH)
JUDGE