



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 33553 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SRI. MUNI KRISHNAPPA
S/O BUCHAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
R/AT SULTANPETE VILLAGE, NANDI HOBLI,
CHIKKABALLAPURA - 562 101,
ALSO R/AT BABUREDDY BUILDING.
MADIVALA, BANGALORE - 68.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. ANANYA RAI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE TAHASILDAR
MINI VIDANA SHOUDHA,
CHIKKABALLAPURA T AND D - 562 101.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF LAND RECORDS
D.C. OFFICE DISTRICT OFFICES COMPLEX,
SIDLAGHATTA ROAD,
CHIKKABALLAPURA T AND D - 562 101.
3. TALUK SURVEYOR
MINI VIDANA SHOUDHA,
CHIKKABALLAPURA T AND D - 562 101.

Digitally signed
by JUANITA
THEJESWINI
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



4. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER D.C.
OFFICE DISTRICT OFFICES COMPLEX
SIDLAGHATTA ROAD,
CHIKKABALLAPURA T AND D - 562 101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B.P. RADHA, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONDUCT OF PHODI AND DURASTHI FOR LAND IN S.Y.NO.57 MEASURING 2 ACRES SITUATED AT SULTANPETE VILLAGE NANDI HOBLI, CHIKKABALLAPURA WITH IN TWO WEEKS AND REPORT THE SAME TO THIS HONBLE COURT AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. The prayer in the writ petition is to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to conduct phodi and durast of 2 acres of land in Sy.No.57 of Sulthanpete Village, Nandi Hobli, Chikkaballapura Taluk and District. However, during the course of these proceedings, the attention of this Court is drawn to Annexure-L, orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.3005/2022 in respect of the same property in



question seeking the very same relief of phodi and durast. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the said writ petition was filed by Smt.Narasamma and not by the petitioner herein. It is contended that from the year 2014, the land in question belongs to the petitioner in terms of the compromise petition entered into before the competent Civil Court.

3. Nevertheless, this Court finds that when the directions issued by this Court in W.P.No.3005/2022 was not complied with by the respondent authorities, the petitioner therein filed a contempt petition in CCC No.1042/2023. The State and its authorities also filed Writ Appeal No.980/2024, and both the matters were considered by the Division Bench and orders have been passed in terms of Annexure-A dated 08.04.2025. This Court finds that the Division Bench has issued directions to the competent authorities to implement the order of the learned Single Judge within six weeks upon making of the representation by the original petitioner.

4. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the considered opinion that, a fresh writ petition need not be filed



by the petitioner seeking directions to implement the orders passed by the Division Bench. Even if the petitioner is not a party to the proceedings before the Division Bench, it will be open for the petitioner to file necessary application bringing to the notice of the Hon'ble Division Bench the fact that the petitioner is admittedly the owner of the property in question and the phodi and durast was sought in respect of the same property as was directed by the Hon'ble Division Bench, but the respondents have failed to comply with the directions.

5. With these observations, while leave granted to the petitioner to file an application before the Hon'ble Division Bench, the writ petition stands ***disposed of.***

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**