



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 2026

PRESENT

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.1333 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

SRI. M.L SRINIVAS REDDY
S/O THE LATE M.H.LAKSHMAN REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
WORKING AS LECTURER,
MECHANICAL DEPARTMENT,
P.V.P. POLYTECHNIC,
MALLATHAHALLI,
BENGALURU - 560 056.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. JANARDHANA G., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. MANJUSHREE.N
THE COMMISSIONER /
DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
THANTHRIKA BHAVAN,
PALACE ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

...ACCUSED

2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
MINISTRY OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
MULTISTORIED BUILDING,
BENGALURU, DR.AMBEKDAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
REP. BY SECRETARY.

...PROFORMA RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. S. R. KAMROZ KHAN., AGA)



THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, PRAYING TO TAKE COGNIZANCE OF THE OFFENCE COMMITTED BY THE ACCUSED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT FOR DISOBEYING THE ORDERS OF THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.NO.20870/2023 (S-RES) DATED 18.07.2024 UNDER ANNEXURE-A AND CONSEQUENTLY PUNISH HER FOR SAID ACT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
&
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN)

This Contempt of Court case is filed alleging non-compliance of the directions issued in W.P.No.20870/2023 (S-RES). The operative part of the order reads as follows:

"ORDER

(a) The petition is allowed in part directing the second respondent – the Director/Commissioner of Technical Education – to consider the petitioner's request for grant of Selection Grade Lecturer Pay Scale, IV Pay Band and 7th AICTE Revised Pay Scale from the corresponding effective dates but subject to the Disciplinary Authority's order dated 07.09.2012.

(b) The second respondent shall accordingly consider and compute the benefits that would be admissible to the petitioner within a period of three



(3) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(c) The petitioner is reserved with liberty to file a fresh representation with a certified copy within four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of the order."

2. The learned counsel for the complainant submits that the directions have not been complied with in full. A compliance affidavit has been placed on record by the learned Additional Government Advocate, contending that the benefits that have been found eligible have already been ordered to be paid to the complainant. However, it is submitted that in respect of certain claims of the complainant, the complainant is found ineligible for the same. It is further submitted that in respect of the benefits which have not been granted to the complainant, a writ petition has been filed by the complainant, which is pending as W.P.No.17371/2025.

3. Having considered the contentions advanced, we are of the opinion that the benefits which are granted to the complainant after considering the claims, have to be released to the complainant in full.



4. However, with regard to the benefits that he is not found entitled to, we are of the opinion that the matter has to be considered in the pending writ petition leaving all the contentions of the complainant open.

5. This Contempt of Court case is ***closed***. However, it is made clear that the amounts to which the complainant is found eligible shall be paid to the complainant forthwith, without further delay.

6. Notice, if any, issued shall stand discharged.

**Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(TARA VITASTA GANJU)
JUDGE**

KS
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 28