



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

WRIT PETITION NO.131 OF 2024 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

SMT PADMAVATHI @ SONAL PADMA
W/O REVANNA
D/O PARTHASARATHY
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
R/A NO.82, EWS,KHB COLONY
2ND STAGE, 3RD CROSS
BASAVESHWARANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560072.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. SANDHYA RANI, ADVOCATE FOR

SMT. SUMA, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by
AASEEFA
PARVEEN
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

AND:

SRI. R. REVANNA
S/O RAMAKRISHNA R. P.,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
R/A NO.53/1, 19TH CROSS,
BHUVANESHWARINAGAR,
K P AGRAHARA,
BANGALORE-560072.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. KRISHNA S VYAS, ADVOCATE)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO PASS THE ORDER IN WRIT PETITION CONSIDERING THE INCOME OF THE RESPONDENT AS SHOWN IN LIABILITY ASSET AND SET ASIDE THE ORDER 17.01.2023 ON I.A.NO.5 FOR THE INTERIM MAINTENANCE APPLICATION U/S 24 OF THE HINDU MARRIAGE ACT IN M.C.NO.5300/2019 AT ANNEXURE-E PASSED BY THE II ADDL.PRL.JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BANGALORE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

ORAL ORDER

Smt.Sandhya Rani seeks time on the ground that the counsel on record is out of station.

There was no representation on petitioner's side on 11.03.2026. Even then the matter was adjourned without dismissal. On 01.06.2026 there was no representation. However, the case was adjourned to give fair opportunity. Again on this day learned counsel who represents the



petitioner seeks time. This Court does not find any grounds to adjourn the matter.

Therefore, the writ petition is *dismissed* for *non-prosecution*.

Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE

NS
CT:TSM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 36